

Semileptonic $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decay over the full $\pi\pi$ invariant mass spectrum*Yan-Li Wang (王艳丽)^{1†} Xiao-Yu Hao (郝晓宇)^{1‡} Yu-Kuo Hsiao (萧佑国)^{1§} ¹School of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Shanxi Normal University, Taiyuan 030031, China

Abstract: The Belle Collaboration has recently reported a measurement of the branching fraction for the semileptonic decay $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$, with $\ell = e$ or μ . Using the newly available data across the full $\pi\pi$ invariant-mass spectrum, we determine the non-resonant $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ transition form factors. We obtain a non-resonant branching fraction $\mathcal{B}_N(B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) = (3.5 \pm 1.4^{+4.3}_{-2.4}) \times 10^{-5}$. This result indicates that the non-resonant contribution can be comparable in magnitude to the resonant components and should not be treated as a negligible background in precision measurements. Our findings highlight the importance of dedicated experimental efforts at Belle II and LHCb to further probe the non-resonant contribution.

DOI: 10.1088/1674-1137/ae68ee

CSTR: 32044.14.ChinesePhysicsC.50073110

I. INTRODUCTION

Semileptonic b -hadron decays provide an ideal platform for testing heavy-to-light transition form factors [1–6]. Mediated by the quark-level $b \rightarrow u\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ weak transition, they offer one of the most reliable avenues for determining the Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa (CKM) matrix element $|V_{ub}|$ [7–15], a key parameter that governs the overall magnitude of CP violation in the Standard Model. Moreover, owing to their relatively small hadronic uncertainties, these decays provide a sensitive probe for potential contributions from physics beyond the Standard Model [16–18].

The four-body decay channel $B \rightarrow \pi\pi\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ is among the semileptonic B decays that have been measured experimentally [19–23]. In this process, the quasi-two-body contribution $B \rightarrow \rho\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$, followed by the decay $\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$, plays a dominant role. Accordingly, this decay has been extensively studied within various theoretical frameworks, including factorization approaches [24–27], QCD light-cone sum rules [28–33], lattice QCD [34], model-independent parameterizations [35], and phenomenological analyses [36]. However, the nonresonant contribution to $B \rightarrow \pi\pi\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ represents a genuine four-body decay topology but is often treated as a negligible background and has received comparatively little attention.

The Belle Collaboration has recently measured the branching fractions of $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ over the full $\pi\pi$ in-

variant-mass ($M_{\pi\pi}$) spectrum, as reported in [23].

$$\mathcal{B}_T(B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) = (22.7^{+1.9}_{-1.6} \pm 3.5) \times 10^{-5}, \quad (1)$$

with $\ell^- = e^-$ or μ^- . Here, the total branching fraction $\mathcal{B}_T$ includes contributions from resonant processes of the form $B^- \rightarrow R\ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ followed by $R \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, with $R = \rho^0$ or $R = f_2 \equiv f_2(1270)$. Because the $\pi\pi$ invariant-mass spectrum is measured over the full kinematic range, potential non-resonant contributions are also expected. However, a dedicated statistical analysis of the non-resonant component has not yet been performed. Assuming $\mathcal{B}_T \simeq \mathcal{B}_\rho + \mathcal{B}_{f_2} + \mathcal{B}_N$, where $\mathcal{B}_\rho(B^- \rightarrow \rho^0 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, \rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (15.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$ [15] and $\mathcal{B}_{f_2}(B^- \rightarrow f_2 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, f_2 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (1.8 \pm 0.9^{+0.2}_{-0.1}) \times 10^{-5}$ [37], the non-resonant branching fraction can be estimated as $\mathcal{B}_N(B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$. This indicates that the non-resonant contribution is comparable in magnitude to the resonant components and should not be regarded as a negligible background, thereby warranting dedicated investigation.

The non-resonant decay channel $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ is governed by the $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ non-resonant form factors, denoted $F_{\pi\pi}$. Notably, the non-leptonic B decay channel $B^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ also requires $F_{\pi\pi}$ to account for its sizable non-resonant contribution [15], although these form factors remain poorly determined. Currently, little information is available in the literature [7, 24–43]. This

Received 10 April 2026; Accepted 30 April 2026; Accepted manuscript online 1 May 2026

* Supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12575101, 12175128)

† E-mail: ylwang0726@163.com

‡ E-mail: 2428405524@qq.com

§ E-mail: yukuohsiao@gmail.com



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Article funded by SCOAP³ and published under licence by Chinese Physical Society and the Institute of High Energy Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Institute of Modern Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and IOP Publishing Ltd

situation motivates us to extract $F_{\pi\pi}$ from the measured $\pi\pi$ invariant-mass distribution in $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$. To this end, we will separate the resonant contributions from the non-resonant component and perform a numerical analysis of the branching fractions and angular distributions. In addition, we will examine the sensitivity of the current data to the CKM matrix element $|V_{ub}|$.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

As depicted in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), the decay $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ proceeds via resonant and non-resonant $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ transitions, respectively, with the lepton pair produced by the emitted W boson. In the measured $M_{\pi\pi}$ spectrum, a dominant peak and a small bump are identified as arising from the processes $B^- \rightarrow \rho^0\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ and $B^- \rightarrow f_2\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$, respectively, where the vector meson ρ^0 and the tensor meson f_2 appear as intermediate resonances decaying into $\pi^+\pi^-$. In addition, a non-resonant component is expected to contribute and can, in principle, be extracted from the data. For our analysis, we express the total amplitude of $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ as [44]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_T &= \mathcal{M}_N(B^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell) + \mathcal{M}_\rho(B^- \rightarrow \rho^0\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell, \rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{M}_{f_2}(B^- \rightarrow f_2\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell, f_2 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-), \\ \mathcal{M}_{N(R)} &= \frac{G_F V_{ub}}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \pi^+\pi^- | \bar{u}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)b | B^- \rangle_{N(R)} \bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)\nu_\ell, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the subscripts T , N , and $R = (\rho, f_2)$ denote the total, non-resonant, and resonant contributions, respectively.




Fig. 1. (color online) Semileptonic $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decay: (a) nonresonant contribution; (b) resonant contributions via the intermediate states ρ^0 and f_2 .

The hadronic matrix elements for the (non-)resonant $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ transitions are parameterized as in Refs. [1, 45]

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \pi^+(p_a)\pi^-(p_b) | \bar{u}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)b | B^- \rangle_N \\ &= h\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_B^\nu p^\alpha (p_b - p_a)^\beta + i r q_\mu + i w_+ p_\mu + i w_- (p_b - p_a), \\ &\langle \pi^+(p_a)\pi^-(p_b) | \bar{u}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)b | B^- \rangle_{\rho(f_2)} \\ &= \langle \pi^+\pi^- | \rho(f_2) \rangle \frac{i}{(t - m_{\rho(f_2)}^2) + i m_{\rho(f_2)} \Gamma_{\rho(f_2)}} \\ &\quad \times \langle \rho(f_2) | \bar{u}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)b | B^- \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $p = p_b + p_a$, $q = p_B - p = p_\ell + p_\nu$, and $(s, t) \equiv (q^2, p^2)$. The non-resonant form factors are denoted by $F_{\pi\pi} = (h, r, w_\pm)$. The $B \rightarrow \rho(f_2)$ transition matrix elements are parameterized as in Refs. [46–48]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho(f_2) | \bar{u}\gamma_\mu b | B^- \rangle &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{(\nu)} p_B^\alpha p_{\rho(f_2)}^\beta \frac{2V_1^{(\nu)}}{m_B + m_{\rho(f_2)}}, \\ \langle \rho(f_2) | \bar{u}\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b | B^- \rangle &= i \left[\epsilon_\mu^{(\nu)} - \frac{\epsilon^{(\nu)} \cdot p_B}{s} q_\mu \right] (m_B + m_{\rho(f_2)}) A_1^{(\nu)} \\ &\quad + i \frac{\epsilon^{(\nu)} \cdot p_B}{s} q_\mu (2m_{\rho(f_2)}) A_0^{(\nu)} \\ &\quad - i \left[(p_B + p_{\rho(f_2)})_\mu - \frac{m_B^2 - m_{\rho(f_2)}^2}{s} q_\mu \right] \\ &\quad \times (\epsilon^{(\nu)} \cdot p_B) \frac{A_2^{(\nu)}}{m_B + m_{\rho(f_2)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\epsilon'^\mu \equiv \epsilon^{\mu\nu} p_{B\nu}/m_B$. Here, ϵ^ν and $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}$ denote the polarization vector and tensor, respectively, and $F_{\rho(f_2)} = (V_1^{(\nu)}, A_{0,1,2}^{(\nu)})$ collects the corresponding transition form factors. The strong decay vertices $\langle \pi\pi | \rho, f_2 \rangle$ are given in Refs. [10, 49, 50]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi\pi | \rho \rangle &= g_1 \epsilon \cdot (p_b - p_a), \\ \langle \pi\pi | f_2 \rangle &= g_2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu} p_{a\mu} p_{b\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $g_{1,2}$ denote strong coupling constants. To perform the sums over the vector and tensor polarizations of the intermediate ρ and f_2 states, we follow Refs. [46–48].

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \epsilon_\mu \epsilon_\mu^* &= M_{\mu\mu'}, \\ \sum \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\mu'\nu'}^* &= \frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\mu'} M_{\nu\nu'} + \frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\nu'} M_{\nu\mu'} - \frac{1}{3} M_{\mu\nu} M_{\mu'\nu'}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with $M_{\mu\mu'} = -g_{\mu\mu'} + p_\mu p_{\mu'}/p^2$. The form factors in Eqs. (3) and (4) are momentum-dependent and are modeled using either single- or double-pole parametrizations [46–48]:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_\rho(s) &= \frac{F_\rho(0)}{1 - s/m_V^2}, \\
F_{f_2}(s) &= \frac{F_{f_2}(0)}{(1 - s/m_B^2)^2}, \\
F_{\pi\pi}(t) &= \frac{F_{\pi\pi}(0)}{1 - a(t/m_B^2) + b(t/m_B^2)^2},
\end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where F_ρ and F_{f_2} have been studied within QCD-based approaches [48, 51], while the parameters $(a, b, F_{\pi\pi}(0))$ are extracted from a global fit.

For the four-body decay channel $B^-(p_B) \rightarrow \pi^+(p_a)\pi^-(p_b)\ell^-(p_\ell)\bar{\nu}_\ell(p_\nu)$, the phase-space integration is performed over the kinematic variables $(s, t, \theta_M, \theta_L, \phi)$. As illustrated in Fig. 2, $\theta_{M(L)}$ denotes the angle between the momenta of the π^+ and π^- (of the ℓ^- and $\bar{\nu}_\ell$) in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ ($\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$) rest frame. In addition, the angle ϕ is defined as the angle between the decay planes of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ systems, which are formed by $\vec{p}_{a,b}$ and $\vec{p}_{\ell,\bar{\nu}_\ell}$, respectively, in the B -meson rest frame. The differential decay width is given by [1, 3, 4]

$$d\Gamma = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{4(4\pi)^6 m_B^3} X \alpha_M \alpha_L ds dt d\cos\theta_M d\cos\theta_L d\phi, \quad (8)$$

where X , α_M , and α_L are defined as in [43, 52, 53]

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \left[\frac{1}{4} (m_B^2 - s - t)^2 - st \right]^{1/2}, \\
\alpha_M &= \frac{1}{t} \lambda^{1/2}(t, m_\pi^2, m_\pi^2), \\
\alpha_L &= \frac{1}{s} \lambda^{1/2}(s, m_\ell^2, m_{\bar{\nu}}^2),
\end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

with $\lambda(a, b, c) = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - 2ab - 2bc - 2ca$. The allowed ranges for (s, t) and the angular variables $(\theta_M, \theta_L, \phi)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
(m_\ell + m_{\bar{\nu}_\ell})^2 &\leq s \leq (m_B - \sqrt{t})^2, \quad 4m_\pi^2 \leq t \leq (m_B - m_\ell - m_{\bar{\nu}_\ell})^2, \\
0 &\leq \theta_{M,L} \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi,
\end{aligned} \quad (10)$$




Fig. 2. (color online) The angular variables $(\theta_M, \theta_L, \phi)$ in the four-body $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decay.

with $m_\ell + m_{\bar{\nu}_\ell} \simeq 0$, the angular asymmetry is defined from Eq. (8), as in Refs. [54–56].

$$A_{\theta_M} \equiv \frac{\int_0^{+1} \frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_M} d\cos\theta_M - \int_{-1}^0 \frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_M} d\cos\theta_M}{\int_0^{+1} \frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_M} d\cos\theta_M + \int_{-1}^0 \frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_M} d\cos\theta_M}, \quad (11)$$

where $d\Gamma/d\cos\theta_M$ represents the angular distribution.

III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

In the numerical analysis, we perform a minimum χ^2 fit to extract, as free parameters, $|V_{ub}|$, the nonresonant form factors $F_{\pi\pi}$, and $\delta_{1,2}$, where $\delta_{1(2)}$ denotes the relative phase associated with $\mathcal{M}_{\rho(f_2)}$. The χ^2 function is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^2 &= \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_{\rho\text{th}} - \mathcal{B}_{\rho\text{ex}}}{\sigma_{\rho\text{ex}}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_{f_2\text{th}} - \mathcal{B}_{f_2\text{ex}}}{\sigma_{f_2\text{ex}}} \right)^2 \\
&+ \sum_i \left(\frac{\frac{d\mathcal{B}_{\text{th}}^i}{dM_{\pi\pi}} - \frac{d\mathcal{B}_{\text{ex}}^i}{dM_{\pi\pi}}}{\sigma_{\text{ex}}^i} \right)^2 + \sum_j \left(\frac{F_\rho^j - F_{\text{th}\rho}^j}{\delta F_{\text{th}\rho}^j} \right)^2 \\
&+ \sum_k \left(\frac{F_{f_2}^k - F_{\text{th}f_2}^k}{\delta F_{\text{th}f_2}^k} \right)^2,
\end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $d\mathcal{B}/dM_{\pi\pi}$ denotes the differential branching fraction, and $\sigma_{\text{ex}}(\delta F_{\text{th}})$ represents the experimental (theoretical form-factor) uncertainty. The theoretical inputs $\mathcal{B}_{\rho(f_2)\text{th}}$ and $d\mathcal{B}_{\text{th}}/dM_{\pi\pi}$ are obtained from the amplitudes in Eq. (2), whereas the experimental inputs are taken from Eq. (1) and Fig. 3. We use $F_\rho^{(j)} = (V_1(0), A_1(0), A_2(0))$ and $F_{f_2}^{(k)} = (V_1'(0), A_1'(0), A_2'(0))$ from Table 1 as input values for Eq. (12), together with $|g_1| = 5.98$ and $|g_2| = 18.56$ in units of GeV^{-1} [50, 57].




Fig. 3. (color online) The $\pi\pi$ invariant-mass spectrum. The solid curve includes all contributions and reproduces the data points from Belle [23]. The dashed (dotted) curve represents the contributions from $B^- \rightarrow \rho(f_2) \ell^- \bar{\nu}$, with $\rho(f_2) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, while the dot-dashed curve denotes the nonresonant contribution.

Table 1. We parameterize the $B \rightarrow (\rho, f_2)$ transition form factors using the pole mass $M_V = 7.0$ GeV as given in Eq. (7) [48, 51]. Here, we adopt the isospin relation $\sqrt{2}F_{\rho^0} = F_\rho$ for the $B \rightarrow \rho^0$ transition.

	$V_1^{(\prime)}$	$A_1^{(\prime)}$	$A_2^{(\prime)}$
$\sqrt{2}F_{\rho^0}(0)$	$0.35^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$0.27^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	$0.26^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$
$F_{f_2}(0)$	(0.18 ± 0.02)	(0.13 ± 0.02)	(0.12 ± 0.02)

From the fit, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |V_{ub}| &= (3.31 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-3}, \\
 a &= (26.8 \pm 25.9), \quad b = (1430.1 \pm 680.1), \\
 h(0) &= (1.90 \pm 0.43) \text{ GeV}^{-3}, \\
 w_+(0) &= (6.16 \pm 3.41) \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \quad w_-(0) = (3.67 \pm 1.79) \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \\
 (\delta_1, \delta_2) &= (-111.6 \pm 29.3, 0.0 \pm 1.4)^\circ, \\
 \chi^2/n.d.f. &= 1.1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

with $n.d.f. = 7$ denoting the number of degrees of freedom. We note that the form factor r in Eq. (3) and $A_0^{(\prime)}$ in Eq. (4) do not contribute to the fit, as their contributions to the amplitudes vanish since $q_\mu \bar{u}_\ell \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) v_\nu = 0$ for nearly massless leptons.

Using the fitted parameters in Eq. (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_T(B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) &= (19.6 \pm 7.9^{+7.5+0.7}_{-5.4-0.1}) \times 10^{-5}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_\rho(B^- \rightarrow \rho^0 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, \rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) &= (15.8 \pm 6.4^{+7.1}_{-5.7}) \times 10^{-5}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_{f_2}(B^- \rightarrow f_2 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, f_2 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) &= (2.6 \pm 1.1^{+1.2}_{-0.9}) \times 10^{-5}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_N(B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) &= (3.5 \pm 1.4^{+4.3}_{-2.4}) \times 10^{-5},
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where the first uncertainty arises from $|V_{ub}|$, the second from the form factors, and the third (for $\mathcal{B}_T$) from the




Fig. 4. (color online) Angular distributions for the decay $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$. The solid, dashed, dotted, and dot-dashed curves represent the same contributions as in Fig. 3.

phase δ_1 . The partial branching fractions as functions of $M_{\pi\pi}$ and $\cos\theta_M$ are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively. We further evaluate the angular asymmetries using Eq. (11) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{\theta_M, T}(B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) &= (1.3 \pm 8.9^{+0.8}_{-2.5})\%, \\
 A_{\theta_M, \rho}(B^- \rightarrow \rho^0 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, \rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) &= (0.20 \pm 0.04)\%, \\
 A_{\theta_M, f_2}(B^- \rightarrow f_2 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, f_2 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) &= (0.31 \pm 0.08)\%, \\
 A_{\theta_M, N}(B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) &= (-43.0 \pm 22.3)\%,
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where the first set of uncertainties arises from the form factors, and the second (for $A_{\theta_M, T}$) arises from the phase δ_1 .

IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

We investigate the four-body decay channel $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ by performing a global fit to the $M_{\pi\pi}$ invariant mass spectrum shown in Fig. 3. The resulting $\chi^2/n.d.f. \simeq 1$ indicates that our model provides a statistically robust description of the data. By employing the form factors extracted from the global fit (as detailed in Eq. (13)), we present the differential branching fractions $d\mathcal{B}_{(T, \rho, f_2, N)}/dM_{\pi\pi}$ in Fig. 3, illustrating the individual and total contributions to the mass spectrum. The solid curve representing $d\mathcal{B}_T/dM_{\pi\pi}$ is in good agreement with the experimental data. Notably, the non-resonant contribution ($d\mathcal{B}_N/dM_{\pi\pi}$), shown by the dot-dashed curve, plays a critical role in shaping the overall distribution, particularly in the low $M_{\pi\pi}$ region. Our analysis yields the first estimate of the non-resonant branching fraction, $\mathcal{B}_N = (3.5 \pm 1.4^{+4.3}_{-2.4}) \times 10^{-5}$. This result indicates that $\mathcal{B}_N$ can reach the 10^{-5} level and, therefore, should no longer be neglected in precision studies.

The fitted relative phase δ_1 leads to destructive interference involving the resonant process $B^- \rightarrow (\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$, an effect that is most prominent in the $M_{\pi\pi} \simeq m_\rho$ region. In contrast, δ_2 is found to be consistent with zero. This reflects the suppression of the non-resonant contribution for $M_{\pi\pi} > 1$ GeV, leading to negligible interference with the $B^- \rightarrow (f_2 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ channel. From the fit results in Eq. (13), we obtain $|V_{ub}| = (3.31 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-3}$, which is consistent with current determinations. Although the relatively large uncertainty renders this result less competitive than existing extractions reported by the PDG [15], it nevertheless demonstrates that the four-body semileptonic decay $B \rightarrow \pi\pi\ell\bar{\nu}$ is sensitive to $|V_{ub}|$. This provides a novel and independent avenue for its determination. Future high-precision measurements will be essential to reduce the experimental uncertainty and fully exploit the potential of this decay channel.

The angular asymmetries provide stringent constraints on the underlying form factors [54–56]. Our ana-

lysis, as summarized in Eq. (15), shows that $A_{\theta_M, \rho}$ and A_{θ_M, f_2} are both approximately zero. Specifically, $A_{\theta_M, \rho}$ exhibits a symmetric distribution, as shown in Fig. 4, while A_{θ_M, f_2} remains nearly flat. By contrast, $A_{\theta_M, N} \sim -40\%$ displays a clear, decreasing dependence on $\cos\theta_M$. This behavior is dominated by the form factor associated with the structure $w_-(p_b - p_a)$. In the $\pi^+(p_a)\pi^-(p_b)$ rest frame (see Fig. 2), the term $p_b - p_a = (0, 2\vec{p}_b)$ from the w_- contribution projects onto the four-momentum of the lepton-pair system, inducing a pronounced $\cos\theta_M$ dependence. Consequently, future experimental measurements of these angular asymmetries will serve as a powerful tool to test the existence and magnitude of the non-resonant contribution.

In summary, we have investigated the four-body semileptonic decay $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$. By analyzing the full $\pi\pi$ invariant mass spectrum measured by the Belle Collaboration, we have determined $|V_{ub}| = (3.31 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-3}$, a value consistent with current determinations. Furthermore, we have extracted the non-resonant $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ transition form factors and predicted the non-resonant branching fraction to be $\mathcal{B}_N(B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) = (3.5 \pm 1.4^{+4.3}_{-2.4}) \times 10^{-5}$. We have also presented the non-resonant angular asymmetry $A_{\theta_M, N}(B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) = (-43.0 \pm 22.3)\%$. These predictions offer a clear signature of the non-resonant component and can be rigorously tested in future high-precision measurements at Belle II and LHCb.

References

- [1] C. L. Y. Lee, M. Lu, and M. B. Wise, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 5040 (1992)
- [2] D. Becirevic and A. B. Kaidalov, *Phys. Lett. B* **478**, 417 (2000)
- [3] C. Q. Geng and Y. K. Hsiao, *Phys. Lett. B* **704**, 495 (2011)
- [4] C. Q. Geng and Y. K. Hsiao, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 094019 (2012)
- [5] P. Gambino, A. S. Kronfeld, M. Rotondo *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 966 (2020)
- [6] Y. K. Hsiao, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 300 (2023)
- [7] X. W. Kang, B. Kubis, and C. Hanhart, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 053015 (2014)
- [8] T. Feldmann, B. Müller, D. van Dyk *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 034013 (2015)
- [9] Y. K. Hsiao and C. Q. Geng, *Phys. Lett. B* **755**, 418 (2016)
- [10] C. S. Kim, G. L. Castro, and S. L. Tostado, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 073003 (2017)
- [11] Y. K. Hsiao and C. Q. Geng, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 714 (2017)
- [12] Y. K. Hsiao and C. Q. Geng, *Phys. Lett. B* **782**, 728 (2018)
- [13] D. Leljak, B. Melić, F. Novak *et al.*, *JHEP* **08**, 063 (2023)
- [14] I. Adachi *et al.* (Belle-I Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **111**, 112009 (2025)
- [15] S. Navas *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 030001 (2024)
- [16] A. Crivellin, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 031301 (2010)
- [17] A. J. Buras, K. Gemmler, and G. Isidori, *Nucl. Phys. B* **843**, 107 (2011)
- [18] A. Crivellin and S. Pokorski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 011802 (2015)
- [19] B. H. Behrens *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 052001 (2000)
- [20] T. Hokuue *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **648**, 139 (2007)
- [21] A. Sibidanov *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 032005 (2013)
- [22] P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 032007 (2011)
- [23] C. Beleño *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 112001 (2021)
- [24] S. Faller, T. Feldmann, A. Khodjamirian *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 014015 (2014)
- [25] P. Böer, T. Feldmann, and D. van Dyk, *JHEP* **02**, 133 (2017)
- [26] T. Feldmann, D. Van Dyk, and K. K. Vos, *JHEP* **10**, 030 (2018)
- [27] B. Grinstein and D. Pirjol, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 094027 (2006)
- [28] C. Hambrock and A. Khodjamirian, *Nucl. Phys. B* **905**, 373 (2016)
- [29] S. Descotes-Genon, A. Khodjamirian, and J. Virto, *JHEP* **12**, 083 (2019)
- [30] U. G. Meißner and W. Wang, *Phys. Lett. B* **730**, 336 (2014)
- [31] S. Cheng, A. Khodjamirian, and J. Virto, *JHEP* **05**, 157 (2017)
- [32] S. Cheng, A. Khodjamirian, and J. Virto, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 051901 (2017)
- [33] S. Cheng, *Phys. Rev. D* **112**, L111301 (2025)
- [34] L. Leskovec, S. Meinel, M. Petschlies *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **134**, 161901 (2025)
- [35] F. Herren, B. Kubis, and R. van Tonder, *Phys. Rev. D* **112**, 014037 (2025)
- [36] F. U. Bernlochner and S. Wallner, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 074040 (2024)
- [37] C. Beleño, *Measurement of $B \rightarrow \pi\pi\ell\bar{\nu}$ with Full Hadronic Reconstruction at Belle*, thesis, Göttingen University (2018)
- [38] S. Fajfer, R.J. Oakes, and T. N. Pham, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 054029 (1999)
- [39] C. K. Chua, W. S. Hou, S. Y. Shiao *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 034012 (2003)
- [40] C. K. Chua, W. S. Hou, S. Y. Shiao *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **33**, S253 (2004)
- [41] Y. K. Hsiao, S. Y. Tsai, and E. Rodrigues, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 565 (2020)
- [42] S. Cheng, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 053005 (2019)
- [43] Y. K. Hsiao and C. Q. Geng, *Phys. Lett. B* **770**, 348 (2017)
- [44] Y. K. Hsiao, Y. L. Wang, and W. J. Wei, arXiv: 2507.20380[hep-ph]
- [45] A. Pais and S. B. Treiman, *Phys. Rev.* **168**, 1858 (1968)
- [46] Y. B. Zuo, C. X. Yue, B. Yu *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 30 (2021)
- [47] W. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 014008 (2011)
- [48] H. Y. Cheng and K. C. Yang, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 034001 (2011)
- [49] H. Y. Cheng and C. K. Chua, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 053006 (2020)
- [50] M. Suzuki, *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 1043 (1993)
- [51] L. Del Debbio *et al.* (UKQCD Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B*

-
- [52] Y. K. Hsiao, [Phys. Lett. B **845**, 138158 \(2023\)](#)
- [53] Y. K. Hsiao, S. Q. Yang, W. J. Wei *et al.*, [JHEP **12**, 226 \(2025\)](#)
- [54] X. Huang, Y. K. Hsiao, J. Wang *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. D **105**, 076016 \(2022\)](#)
- [55] Y. K. Hsiao and C. Q. Geng, [Phys. Rev. D **93**, 034036 \(2016\)](#)
- [56] C. Q. Geng and Y. K. Hsiao, [Phys. Rev. D **74**, 094023 \(2006\)](#)
- [57] Y. K. Hsiao, Y. Yu, and B. C. Ke, [Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 895 \(2020\)](#)