Revisiting the deuteron mass radius via near-threshold ρ^0 , ω and ϕ meson photoproduction^{*}

Xiaoxuan Lin (林晓萱)^{1,2} Wei Kou (寇维)^{1,3} Shixin Fu (付士鑫)^{1,3} Rong Wang (王荣)^{1,3,4}

Chengdong Han (韩成栋)^{1,3,4†} Xurong Chen (陈旭荣)^{1,3,4,5‡}

¹Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

²College of Physics Science and Technology, Hebei University, Baoding 71002, China

³School of Nuclear Science and Technology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

⁴State Key Laboratory of Heavy Ion Science and Technology, Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China ⁵Southern Center for Nuclear Science Theory, Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Huizhou 516000, China

Abstract: We present a comprehensive analysis of near-threshold photoproduction of ρ^0 , ω , and ϕ mesons on a deuterium target, utilizing published datasets from DESY and SLAC for ρ^0 and ω production, as well as data from the LEPS and CLAS Collaborations for ϕ production. In extracting the deuteron mass radius, we adopt a dipole parametrization for the scalar gravitational form factor, which effectively captures the |t|-dependence of the differential cross sections associated with vector meson photoproduction. In addition, results from alternative commonly used form factor parametrizations are also considered and compared. Employing the vector meson dominance (VMD) framework and invoking low-energy Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) theorems, we extract the deuteron mass radius from near-threshold photoproduction data of ρ^0 , ω , and ϕ mesons. The mass radii obtained from the various datasets are found to be consistent within statistical uncertainties, yielding an average value of 2.03 ± 0.13 fm under the dipole form assumption. We also provide a detailed discussion of the sensitivity of the extracted radius to different choices of gravitational form factor models. Our result represents a significant improvement in precision compared to earlier estimates based solely on ϕ meson photoproduction, offering new constraints for theoretical models of nuclear structure and deepening our understanding of the mass distribution within the deuteron.

Keywords: mass radius, gravitational form factors, photoproduction

DOI: CSTR:

I. INTRODUCTION

The size of proton, usually referred to as charge radius, magnetic radius, or mass radius, has always been a subject of heated discussions. Due to the differences in proton charge radius observed in high-precision measurements, the study of proton radius has always been the focal point of theoretical and experimental research, which is often referred to as the proton charge radius puzzle [1-3]. The nucleon magnetic radius [4-6] is a fundamental parameter that characterizes the spatial distribution of nucleon magnetization, which originates from the motion and intrinsic magnetic moment of its constituent quarks and gluons. The mass radius of nucleon describes the spatial distribution of mass within the nucleon, characterized by the mass density distribution. As a fundamental property of composite systems, the mass radius spans a vast range of scales, from subatomic particles in high-energy physics to galaxies in astrophysics. Recently, significant progress has been made in the determination and interpretation of nucleon and light-nuclei mass radii using various experimental and theoretical approaches [7-11]. The mass of a particle can be regarded as the response of the particle to the external gravitational field, and the gravitational form factor (GFF) of a particle is defined as the off-forward matrix element of the energymomentum tensor (EMT) in the particle state [8, 12–15]. At low energy, the photoproduction of a quarkonium off

Received 16 April 2025; Accepted 4 June 2025

^{*} This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the Grant NO. 12305127, the International Partnership Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences under the Grant NO. 016GJHZ2022054FN. and National Key R&D Program of China under the Grant NO. 2024YFE0109800 and 2024YFE0109802

[†] E-mail: chdhan@impcas.ac.cn

[‡]E-mail: xchen@impcas.ac.cn

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Article funded by SCOAP³ and published under licence by Chinese Physical Society and the Institute of High Energy Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Institute of Modern Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and IOP Publishing Ltd

the particle is connected to the scalar GFF of the particle, which is sensitive to the particle mass distribution from the QCD trace anomaly.

Experimentally, the form factor F(q) of the target is measured as a function of the momentum transfer q in the low momentum elastic scattering process, and represents the Fourier transform of the density distributions $\rho(r)$, which provides crucial insights into the internal structure of the nucleon as described by QCD. The form factor enables researcher to access information about internal energy-momentum distributions inside the particle, thus directly linking experimental observables to fundamental QCD predictions [16]. For different hadronic systems, the internal density distribution is different, which corresponds to different form factor parameterizations and rootmean-square radius of the particle. Specifically, this mass radius provides insights into the mass density distribution within nuclear systems, directly linked to underlying quark and gluon dynamics [17, 18]. The experimental determination of the proton and deuteron charge radii has also received renewed attention from facilities worldwide, including experiments using electron-proton and electron-deuteron scattering, muonic atoms spectroscopy, and vector meson photoproduction processes [19-21]. Similarly, understanding the deuteron mass radius, which describes the mass distribution within the deuteron, is essential for deepening our knowledge of the structure of the atomic nucleus. Notably, recent analyses of vector meson photoproduction near production threshold have demonstrated their capability as sensitive probes for extracting nuclear mass distributions and radii. Currently, based on some vector meson near-threshold photoproduction data, people have done several works on extracting the mass radii of nucleon and light-nuclei [7-11].

In this work, we systematically investigate the mass radius of the deuteron by analyzing the momentum transfer (|t|) dependence of differential cross sections from near-threshold photoproduction of the vector mesons ω , ρ^0 , and ϕ . By combining careful experimental data analysis with rigorous theoretical modeling, we aim to provide reliable results contributing to the ongoing development of nuclear structure physics and QCD phenomenology. The organization of this paper is as follows: Section II briefly introduces the GFF and mass radius; Section III presents the data analysis and results; At the end, a short summary is given in Section IV.

II. GRAVITATIONAL FORM FACTOR AND MASS RADIUS

In a nonrelativistic and weak gravitational field approximation, the scalar GFF provides a useful framework for describing a particle mass distribution. That is, the mass radius of a particle can be theoretically defined in terms of the scalar GFF $G(t = q^2)$, the form factor of the

trace of the QCD EMT instead of the form factor of T_{00} [8]. GFF can be obtained via the measurement of generalized parton distributions (GPDs) from various exclusive scattering processes, as their second Mellin moments yield the combinations of GFFs [14, 15]. In this study, a possible approach is to transform the study of gravitonnucleon scattering into the scalar GFF of the nucleon under the theoretical framework of the vector meson dominated (VMD) model. For a continuous mass density distribution at small momentum transfer $t = q^2$, the root-meansquare (RMS) radius of the nucleon is directly related to the slope of the scalar GFF at zero momentum transfer (t = 0), expressed by [8, 22, 23],

$$\left\langle R_m^2 \right\rangle = 6 \frac{dG(t)}{dt} \Big|_{t=0},\tag{1}$$

where the scalar GFF is normalized to G(0) = M at zero momentum transfer t = 0.

For this analysis, the VMD model was used for describing near-threshold photoproduction processes of vector mesons on nuclear targets. And the VMD model has been successfully applied in light vector meson nearthreshold photoproductions studies [24-29], accurately linking measurable differential cross sections to the internal mass distributions of nucleon and light-nuclei [7-11, 30], as well as the vector-meson nucleon scattering lengths [24-29], etc. Specifically, at energies near the photoproduction threshold and at small momentum transfer ($|t| \ll 1 \text{ GeV}^2$), the VMD model approximates the differential cross section by relating it directly to the square of the scalar GFF [8, 9]. Due to the near-nonrelativistic nature of heavy quarkonia produced in near-threshold photoproduction experiments, the coupling between the color-neutral heavy quark-antiquark pair and gluons can be described by the gluonic operator $g^2 \mathbf{E}^{a2}$ [8]. This process is analogous to the Stark effect in QCD. The presence of this gluonic operator effectively encapsulates the interaction of the heavy quark pair with the gluon field in the nonrelativistic limit. Under these conditions, the nearthreshold vector meson photoproduction amplitude can be factorized into a short-distance vacuum polarization contribution describing the photon splitting into a quark-antiquark pair, and a nonperturbative matrix element of the gluonic operator $g^2 \mathbf{E}^{a2}$ evaluated between the initial and final nuclear states [8]

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma N \to \psi N}(t) = -e_q c_2 2M \langle p_2 | g^2 \mathbf{E}^{a2} | p_1 \rangle, \qquad (2)$$

where $t = p_1 - p_2$ is the momentum transfer, e_q is the charge of quark and c_2 is a coefficient representing the short-distance coupling between a heavy quark and the color electric field. It also includes the process of the quark pair transforming into a vector meson.

At this stage, the gluonic operator can be expressed in terms of the trace part of the EMT. When inserted into the matrix element of the EMT, it yields a representation in terms of GFFs

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma N \to \psi N}(t) = -e_q c_2 \frac{16\pi^2 M}{b_0} \langle p_2 | T | p_1 \rangle, \tag{3}$$

with

$$\langle p_1|T|p_2\rangle = \left(\frac{M_N^2}{p_{01}p_{02}}\right)^{1/2} \bar{u}(p_1,s_1)u(p_2,s_2)G(t) \sim G(t).$$
 (4)

Therefore, the differential cross section for quarkonium near-threshold photoproduction processes in the small -|t| region can be described with the scalar GFF, as shown below,

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} \propto G^2(t). \tag{5}$$

The validity of using the above equation to describe the photoproduction of light vector mesons off the nucleon or the hadronic matter is understandable. Brodsky *et al.* [31] demonstrate that in the small momentum transfer regime, the distinctive features of forward differential cross section for any possible vector meson leptoproduction can be reasonably factorized in perturbative QCD based on the $q\bar{q}$ wave function of the vector meson and the target gluon distribution.

The dipole form factor from exponential distribution well describes the form factor of the nucleon within a wide kinematical range. To quantitatively describe the *t*dependence of the experimental data and subsequently extract the deuteron mass radius, we adopt a widely-used dipole form parameterization for the scalar GFF [8, 9],which is written as,

$$G(t) = \frac{M}{(1 - t/\Lambda^2)^2},$$
 (6)

where Λ is dipole parameter determined from fitting differential cross-section data. This simple and effective parameterization has demonstrated its ability to reliably reproduce experimental differential cross sections across multiple vector meson photoproduction channels [9]. More importantly, the dipole form factor has long been employed to describe double-gluon exchange processes in low-energy vector meson-nucleon scattering [32]. This underpins the rationale that, within the weak-gravity approximation, the exchange of two gluons-or a tensor glueball-can effectively serve as a surrogate for graviton-nucleon or graviton-nucleus scattering. While it is practically impossible to construct a direct graviton-nucleon scattering experiment, such processes are holographically dual to each other in the framework of holographic QCD [33-35]. Of course, the current experimental precision is not sufficient to rule out alternative form factor models. In what follows, we compare the commonly used parameterizations with the dipole ansatz.

III. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Different hadronic systems exhibit distinct internal density distributions. Table 1 summarizes several representative density profiles, along with their corresponding form factors and mean square radii, assuming F(q) = G(q)/M is the normalized form factors.. For mesons, such as the pion, the density falls off rapidly with increasing radial distance and is typically described by a Yukawa-type potential. The associated form factor of the pion exhibits a monopole behavior. In contrast, the dipole form factor, derived from an exponential density distribution, provides a good description of the proton form factor over a broad range of momentum transfer. For heavy nuclei, such as lead, the density distribution re-

Table 1. Analytical forms of density distributions $\rho(r)$, form factors F(q), and corresponding root-mean-square (RMS) radii $\sqrt{\langle r^2 \rangle}$ for different models.

Model	ho(r)	F(q)	$\sqrt{\langle r^2 angle}$
Yukawa-type	$rac{\Lambda^2}{4\pi r}e^{-\Lambda r}$	$\frac{1}{1+q^2/\Lambda^2}$	$\sqrt{\frac{6}{\Lambda^2}}$
Exponential	$\frac{\Lambda^3}{8\pi}re^{-\Lambda r}$	$\frac{1}{(1+q^2/\Lambda^2)^2}$	$\sqrt{\frac{12}{\Lambda^2}}$
Tripole	$\frac{\Lambda^4}{48\pi}r^2e^{-\Lambda r}$	$\frac{1}{(1+q^2/\Lambda^2)^3}$	$\sqrt{\frac{18}{\Lambda^2}}$
Fourthpole	$\frac{\Lambda^5}{384\pi}r^3e^{-\Lambda r}$	$\frac{1}{(1+q^2/\Lambda^2)^4}$	$\sqrt{\frac{24}{\Lambda^2}}$
Gaussian	$\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\pi}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\Lambda^2 r^2}$	$e^{-q^2/(4\Lambda^2)}$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2\Lambda^2}}$
Uniform	$\frac{3}{4\pi R^3}\theta(R-r)$	$\frac{3j_1(qR)}{qR}$	$\sqrt{\frac{3R^2}{5}}$

flects the saturation property of nuclear matter and can be approximately modeled by a uniform or Fermi distribution. Accordingly, we introduce several different parameterizations of GFFs to analyze the vector meson photoproduction data. In the latter part of this section, we first present the results of various form factor models in describing the data from different experimental collaborations, along with the corresponding extractions of the mass radius. A comprehensive analysis of the deuteron mass radius obtained from different models will be provided in the final subsection.

A. Photoproduction of ρ^0 on deuteron

The ABHHM collaboration [36] have been investigated the photoproduction of ρ^0 differential cross section in a deuterium bubble chamber experiment at DESY with a bremsstrahlung beam at energies between 1 and 5 GeV. We analyzed near-threshold ρ^0 meson photoproduction differential cross-section data on the deuterium target at $E_{\gamma} = 1.8-2.5 \,\text{GeV}$, Two different resonance reconstruction models were considered, the Model (i) employs the standard Breit-Wigner resonance profile, while Model (ii) incorporates the interference effects from Drell-type onepion exchange. Fig. 1 shows the differential cross sections $d\sigma/dt$ of ρ^0 meson photon productions as a function of -t. In addition to the black solid curve corresponding to the dipole GFFs, the colored curves represent different parameterizations of the gravitational form factors. Evidently, in the low-|t| region, the distinct shapes of these models-stemming from the lack of experimental constraints—highlight the critical importance of data in this kinematic domain. Differential cross sections were independently fitted for each model to extract the corresponding deuteron mass radius, and from ABHHM data we extract the deuteron mass radii with different GFFs, respectively. The extracted values of the parameter Λ of GFFs and deuteron radius $\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$ are listed in Table 2. It is evident that different models yield distinct descriptions of the same dataset, leading to variations in the extracted deuteron mass radius. However, the differences in the corresponding reduced χ^2 values are not substantial. This indicates that, within the available kinematic range of the data, all considered GFFs parameterizations provide a reasonably good description of the differential cross sections.

B. Photoproduction of ω on deuteron

Y. Eisenberg et al. [37] have measured the coherent photoproduction of ω in γ d interaction at $E_{\gamma} = 4.3$ GeV. And the experiment was conducted by exposing the SLAC 40-inch bubble chamber to a quasi-monochromatic e⁺ annihilation photon beam with an energy of 4.3 GeV. Fig. 2 shows the differential cross sections of the near-threshold ω photoprodution reaction $\gamma d \rightarrow \omega d$ at $E_{\gamma} = 4.3$ GeV. From the fits of the dipole GFF, ω photoproduction differential cross-section data implies the deuteron mass radius to be 2.04 ± 0.47 fm. The solid black curve show the fits of the dipole scalar GFF model, the colored curves represent the others models. To quantify the quality of fit, the obtained parameter Λ 's and the extracted deuteron radii $\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$ from the differential cross sections of ω photoproduction near threshold are listed in Table 3. It can be observed that, in contrast to the case of ρ^0 meson production, the deuteron mass radii extracted from the ω production data do not exhibit significant variations across different GFF models. All extracted radii fall within the range of approximately 1 to 2 fm, and the corresponding reduced χ^2 values remain relatively small. This behavior can be attributed to the fact that the ω production data lie within a lower |t| kinematic regime, which provides somewhat stronger constraints on the models.

C. Photoproduction of ϕ on deuteron

In the previous work [7], we analyzed the coherent ϕ -photoproduction differential cross sections on deuterium



Fig. 1. (color online) Differential cross sections of near-threshold ρ^0 photoproduction on deuteron fitted with six models using two resonance schemes (Model i and Model ii) from ABHHM Collaboration data [36].

	ABHHM (Model i)			
Model	Λ (GeV)	$\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$ (fm)	χ^2/ndf	
Yukawa	0.06 ± 0.02	7.66 ± 2.25	0.85/2	
Dipole	0.27 ± 0.05	2.52 ± 0.47	1.31/2	
Tripole	0.38 ± 0.05	2.17 ± 0.32	1.55/2	
Fourthpole	0.48 ± 0.06	2.04 ± 0.27	1.68/2	
Gaussian	0.14 ± 0.01	1.75 ± 0.18	2.21/2	
	R (GeV ⁻¹)			
Uniform	8.50 ± 0.63	1.30 ± 0.10	3.05/2	
ABHHM (Model ii)				
Model	Λ (GeV)	$\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$ (fm)	χ^2/ndf	
Yukawa	0.10 ± 0.06	5.31 ± 3.85	0.16/2	
Dipole	0.31 ± 0.05	2.23 ± 0.33	0.56/2	
Tripole	0.42 ± 0.05	1.96 ± 0.23	0.75/2	
Fourthpole	0.52 ± 0.06	1.85±0.20	0.85/2	
Gaussian	0.15 ± 0.01	1.61±0.14	1.21/2	
	R (GeV ⁻¹)	×		
Uniform	8.00±0.50	1.22 ± 0.08	1.95/2	
Global Average				
Model		$\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$ (fm)		
Yukawa		7.06 ± 1.95		
Dipole		2.33 ± 0.27		
Tripole		2.03 ± 0.18		
Fourthpole		1.92 ± 0.16		
Gaussian		1.66 ± 0.11		
Uniform		0.65 ± 0.01		

Table 2. Fitted results of Λ , deuteron mass radius $\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$, and χ^2 /ndf for different models from near-threshold ρ^0 photoproduction data measured by the ABHHM Collaboration [36]. Global averages are calculated by combining results from Model i and ii.



Fig. 2. (color online) Differential cross sections of nearthreshold ω photoproduction measured by Y. *Eisenberg et al.* [37], fitted with six models.

target from CLAS and LEPS collaborations [38–41], obtaining a deuteron mass radius of 1.95 ± 0.19 fm. This value is consistent with the values extracted from the current ρ^0 and ω meson analyses within the statistical uncertainties, strongly supporting the robustness and universality of our theoretical approach across different vector meson channels.

To enable a more detailed comparison, we applied the various form factor models to the differential cross-section data of ϕ photoproduction reported by the CLAS and LEPS collaborations. The corresponding fit results are shown in the Figs. 3 and 4, and the extracted model parameters, including the deuteron mass radius, are summarized in the Table 4. It is worth emphasizing that the LEPS collaboration provides data at three photon energy configurations, all of which can be regarded as near-threshold. We find that the results obtained by independently fitting the data at each energy point and subsequently averaging are consistent with those derived from a global fit using

Table 3. Fitted values of Λ , deuteron mass radius $\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$, and fit quality χ^2 /ndf from the near-threshold ω photoproduction data measured by Y. *Eisenberg et al.* [37].

Y. Eisenberg et al.					
Model	Λ (GeV)	$\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$ (fm)	χ^2/ndf		
Yukawa	0.18 ± 0.07	2.68 ± 1.04	0.62/1		
Dipole	0.33 ± 0.08	2.04 ± 0.47	0.43/1		
Tripole	0.44 ± 0.09	1.90 ± 0.38	0.38/1		
Fourthpole	0.52 ± 0.10	1.84 ± 0.35	0.35/1		
Gaussian	0.14 ± 0.02	1.68 ± 0.27	0.28/1		
	R (GeV ⁻¹)				
Uniform	8.76 ± 1.05	1.34 ± 0.16	0.19/1		



Fig. 3. (color online) Differential cross sections of nearthreshold ϕ photoproduction measured by CLAS [42], fitted with six model parameterizations.

the combined dataset across all energy configurations. In principle, the deuteron mass radius is a fundamental property and should be independent of the specific photon energy configuration used in the experiment. Therefore, as long as the condition of near-threshold production is satisfied, the extracted results are expected to be largely insensitive to the precise photon energy. In addition, the CLAS collaboration data are predominantly located in the region of |t| > 0.3 GeV², which leads to more pronounced variations in the fitted results.

D. Global analysis with ρ^0 , ω and ϕ photoproduction

on deuteron

In summary, we have analyzed the near-threshold photoproduction data for all three types of vector mesons to extract the deuteron mass radius. The results, derived from different experimental collaborations, various vector meson probes, and distinct parameterizations of GFFs, consistently fall within the range of $1 \sim 2$ fm. With the exception of the Yukawa-type GFFs—which yield a mass radius larger than the known deuteron charge radius—all other GFFs produce mass radii smaller than the charge radius [44, 45]. This indicates a strong possibility that the deuteron's energy density distribution is more compact than its charge distribution, although a certain degree of model dependence still persists at present.

We used the following formula for the calculation of weighted average: $\bar{x} \pm \delta \bar{x} = \sum_i w_i x_i / \sum_i w_i \pm (\sum_i w_i)^{-1/2}$ with $w_i = 1/(\delta x_i)^2$. Note that the weighted average of the mass radii with different models obtained here is consistent with the result of the simultaneous fit to all the data sets. We performed a weighted average of the deuteron mass radii obtained from each individual parameterization of GFFs. The weighted average results from all six GFFs parameterizations are presented in Fig. 5. The rootmean-square mass radii of the deuteron are extracted from the fits described above, where each GFFs model is used to describe the photoproduction data of ρ^0 , ω , and ϕ mesons. In each panel, the horizontal line and its associated uncertainty band represent the weighted average of the three data points. The resulting mass radii from the weighted averages corresponding to the six GFFs parameterizations are also summarized in the accompanying Table 5.



Fig. 4. (color online) Differential cross sections of near-threshold ϕ photoproduction measured by the LEPS Collaboration [41, 43], fitted with six model parameterizations.

		CLAS		
Model	Λ (GeV)		$\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$ (fm)	χ^2/ndf
Yukawa	0.12 ± 0.02		3.86 ± 0.76	25.66/5
Dipole	0.40 ± 0.05		1.71 ± 0.23	0.20/5
Fourthpole	0.88 ± 0.05		1.10 ± 0.06	0.23/5
Tripole	0.68 ± 0.05		1.22 ± 0.08	0.19/5
Gaussian	0.28 ± 0.01		0.87 ± 0.03	0.53/5
	R (GeV ⁻¹)			
Uniform	3.99 ± 0.08		0.61±0.01	1.93/5
		LEPS	~0	
Model	Λ (GeV)		$\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$ (fm)	χ^2/ndf
Yukawa	0.06 ± 0.01		8.26±1.45	27.85/23
Dipole	0.32 ± 0.04	0	2.14 ± 0.26	24.01/23
Tripole	0.48 ± 0.04		1.75 ± 0.15	24.56/23
Fourthpole	0.60 ± 0.04		1.62 ± 0.12	24.94/23
Gaussian	0.18 ± 0.01	\mathbf{O}	1.35 ± 0.07	26.51/23
	R (GeV ⁻¹)			
Uniform	6.32 ± 0.24		0.97 ± 0.04	31.83/23
Global Average				
Model			$\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$ (fm)	
Yukawa			4.82 ± 0.68	
Dipole			1.90 ± 0.17	
Tripole			1.36 ± 0.07	
Fourthpole			1.21 ± 0.05	
Gaussian			0.94 ± 0.03	
Uniform			0.65 ± 0.01	
	Vukawa	Dipole	Ī	Tripole
8	2.6	ырые	2.1	Inpote
	∳ī			
51	2.2		1.7	
Ţ			•••••	т
	1.8		1.3	<u>+</u>
	Fourthpole	Gaussian		Uniform
		Gaussian	1.5 I	onnorm
			↓ ↓	$\boxed{\diamondsuit \gamma d \to d\rho^0}$
1.6	1.4		1.1	$\Box \qquad \gamma d \rightarrow d\omega^0$
				$ \begin{array}{c c} \circ & \gamma d \to d\phi \end{array} $
	♦ 1.0			
1 1			• 0.7	

Table 4. Fitted values of Λ , deuteron mass radius $\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$, and fit quality χ^2 /ndf obtained from near-threshold ϕ photoproduction data measured by the CLAS Collaboration [42] and the LEPS Collaboration [41, 43].

Fig. 5. (color online) Comparison of deuteron mass radii extracted with different parameterizations as a function of meson probe mass. Each subplot corresponds to a specific model.

Table 5. Final extracted deuteron mass radii $\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$ using different form factor models.

Model	$\sqrt{\langle R_m^2 \rangle}$ (fm)
Yukawa	4.04 ± 0.48
Dipole	2.03 ± 0.13
Tripole	1.47 ± 0.07
Fourthpole	1.30 ± 0.05
Gaussian	1.00 ± 0.03
Uniform	0.68 ± 0.01

From the deuteron mass radii extracted using six commonly adopted distribution models, it is evident that the results associated with ρ^0 and ω meson photoproduction exhibit larger uncertainties compared to those from ϕ meson data. Consequently, the weighted average is more heavily influenced by the ϕ meson results. Moreover, the dipole-type GFFs yield more consistent radii across all three vector meson channels, indicating a stronger generalization capability in describing near-threshold photoproduction processes. This suggests that dipole-type GFFs are more robust in modeling the underlying dynamics. Future high-precision photoproduction experiments involving heavy vector mesons such as J/ψ and Y may provide additional constraints on GFFs. Based on these considerations, we favor extracting the deuteron mass radius within the framework of the two-gluon exchange picture, as encapsulated by dipole-type GFFs. This approach has already demonstrated its advantages in previous determinations of the proton and neutron mass radii.

IV. SUMMARY

Based on the assumptions of VMD model and a low energy QCD theorem, we extracted the deuteron mass radius by systematically analyzing different vector meson near-threshold photoproduction data. Utilizing a dipole parameterization of the scalar gravitational form factor within the VMD framework, the combined analysis of the three vector mesons ρ^0 , ω and ϕ photoproduction off the deuteron gives the average radius to be 2.03 ± 0.13 fm (dipole GFFs), which is smaller than the world average of the deuteron charge radius (CODATA-2020 evaluation gives deuteron charge radius 2.1424 ± 0.0021 fm) [44, 45]. This result is in good agreement with the previous determination based solely on ϕ photoproduction nearthreshold data [7], thereby reinforcing the validity and universality of the adopted approach. Frankly speaking, our ultimate goal is to determine the deuteron mass radius in a manner that is independent of both the incident photon energy and the specific modeling choices. However, current experimental efforts toward extracting the mass radii of nucleons or nuclei still require extens-

ive data accumulation and theoretical development. We argue that the deuteron mass radius, much like its charge radius, should be regarded as an intrinsic property that encodes information about the internal energy or mass distribution of the system. Nevertheless, our analysis indicates that different parameterizations of GFFs can yield notably distinct descriptions of the same set of differential cross-section data. This variation is primarily due to the limited number and precision of existing measurements, particularly the lack of data in the forward kinematic region, which significantly increases the uncertainties in the fits. Taking all factors into consideration, we conclude that the dipole-type GFFs constitute one of the most reliable choices-both in terms of physical interpretability and fit quality. More stringent model constraints, however, will ultimately require high-precision measurements of forward differential cross sections.

This analysis provides not only necessary constraints for theoretical models of nuclear structure, but also a deeper understanding of the spatial distribution of mass within the deuteron. The consistent deuteron mass extracted from different near-threshold vector meson photoproduction data indicates that the VMD model combined with the dipole parameterization of the scalar GFF is a powerful tool to probe the internal structure of deuteron. In addition, precisely extracting the mass radius of deuteron is crucial for understanding the interplay between quark-gluon dynamics and nuclear binding, as well as for refining our knowledge of generalized parton distributions, which are closely related to GFF. Despite the success of our approach, several challenges remain. Currently, the approach to extracting the mass radius of deuterons parallels that of protons, meaning that the probe "sees" the structure of the entire nucleus without incorporating the shape of the deuteron itself into the size measurement process. Additionally, like the determination of the proton mass radius, different vector meson probes yield nearly identical deuteron mass radii within the uncertainty ranges, indicating that the form factor may not be sensitive to the type of meson probe used. The relatively large uncertainties in some of the individual channels highlights the necessity of improving experimental precision, especially in the low momentum-transfer region. Future experimental campaigns at next-generation electron scattering facilities and near-threshold photoproduction experiments are expected to provide high precision data, which will enable further improvement of the extraction of deuteron mass radius and a more detailed mapping of the internal mass distribution.

In short, our comprehensive analysis in different vector meson photoproduction channels contributes to a deeper understanding of the deuteron structure and lays a solid foundation for future theoretical and experimental investigations in nuclear mass radius physics. The ingenious extraction of the deuteron mass radius from various meson photoproduction processes highlights the prospect of combining advanced experimental techniques with rigorous theoretical modeling to reveal the complex structure of nuclear matter.

References

- [1] R. e. a. Pohl, Nature 466, 213 (2010)
- [2] A. Antognini *et al.*, Science **339**, 417 (2013)
- [3] C. E. Carlson, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 82, 59 (2015)
- J. M. Alarcón, D. W. Higinbotham, and C. Weiss, Phys. Rev. C 102, 035203 (2020), arXiv: 2002.05167[hep-ph]
- Y.-H. Lin, H.-W. Hammer, and U.-G. Meißner, Phys. Lett. B 816, 136254 (2021), arXiv: 2102.11642[hep-ph]
- [6] D. Djukanovic, G. von Hippel, H. B. Meyer, K. Ottnad, M. Salg, and H. Wittig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 211901 (2024), arXiv: 2309.07491[hep-lat]
- [7] R. Wang, W. Kou, C. Han, J. Evslin, and X. Chen, Phys. Rev. D 104, 074033 (2021), arXiv: 2108.03550[hep-ph]
- [8] D. E. Kharzeev, Phys. Rev. D 104, 054015 (2021)
 [9] R. Wang, W. Kou, Y.-P. Xie, and X. Chen, Phys. Rev. D
- 103, L091501 (2021), arXiv: 2102.01610[hep-ph]
 [10] C. Han, G. Xie, W. Kou, R. Wang, and X. Chen, Eur. Phys.
- J. A **58**, 105 (2022), arXiv: 2201.08535[hep-ph]
- [11] R. Wang, C. Han, and X. Chen, Phys. Rev. C 109, L012201 (2024), arXiv: 2309.01416[hep-ph]
- [12] I. Y. Kobzarev and L. B. Okun, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 43, 1904 (1962)
- [13] H. Pagels, Phys. Rev. 144, 1250 (1966)
- [14] O. V. Teryaev, Front. Phys. (Beijing) 11, 111207 (2016)
- [15] M. V. Polyakov and P. Schweitzer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 33, 1830025 (2018)
- [16] X. Ji, Front. Phys. 16, 64601 (2021)
- [17] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 610 (1997)
- [18] V. D. e. a. Burkert, Nature **557**, 396 (2018)
- [19] W. e. a. Xiong, Nature **575**, 147 (2019)
- [20] H.-W. Hammer and U.-G. Meißner, Sci. Bull. 65, 257 (2020)
- [21] R. Dupré, M. Guidal, and M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. D 103, 014023 (2021)
- [22] K. Kumerički, S. Liuti, and H. Moutarde, Phenomenological access to gravitational form factors of the nucleon, JHEP 10, 063.
- [23] G. A. Miller, Phys. Rev. C 99, 035202 (2019), arXiv: 1812.02714[nucl-th]
- [24] I. I. Strakovsky *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 045207 (2015), arXiv: 1407.3465[nucl-ex]
- [25] I. I. Strakovsky, L. Pentchev, and A. Titov, Phys. Rev. C 101, 045201 (2020), arXiv: 2001.08851[hep-ph]

- [26] L. Pentchev and I. I. Strakovsky, Eur. Phys. J. A 57, 56 (2021), arXiv: 2009.04502[hep-ph]
- [27] X.-Y. Wang, F. Zeng, and I. I. Strakovsky, Phys. Rev. C 106, 015202 (2022), arXiv: 2205.07661[hep-ph]
- [28] C. Han, W. Kou, R. Wang, and X. Chen, Phys. Rev. C 107, 015204 (2023), arXiv: 2210.11276[hep-ph]
- [29] C. Han, W. Kou, R. Wang, and X. Chen, Eur. Phys. J. A 59, 118 (2023), arXiv: 2211.17102[hep-ph]
- [30] W. Kou, R. Wang, and X. Chen, Phys. Rev. D 103, 014025 (2021)
- [31] S. J. Brodsky, L. Frankfurt, J. F. Gunion, A. H. Mueller, and M. Strikman, Phys. Rev. D 50, 3134 (1994), arXiv: hepph/9402283
- [32] L. Frankfurt and M. Strikman, Phys. Rev. D 66, 031502 (2002), arXiv: hep-ph/0205223
- [33] K. A. Mamo and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. D 101, 086003 (2020), arXiv: 1910.04707[hep-ph]
- [34] K. A. Mamo and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. D 103, 094010 (2021), arXiv: 2103.03186[hep-ph]
- [35] K. A. Mamo and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. D 106, 086004 (2022), arXiv: 2204.08857[hepph]
- [36] P. Benz, O. Braun, H. Butenschön, D. Gall, U. Idschok, C. Kiesling, G. Knies, K. Müller, B. Nellen, R. Schiffer, P. Schlamp, H. J. Schnackers, P. Spiering, J. Stiewe, and F. Storim, Nucl. Phys. B 79, 10 (1974)
- [37] Y. Eisenberg, B. Haber, E. Kogan, E. E. Ronat, A. Shapira, and G. Yekutieli, Nucl. Phys. B 38, 349 (1972)
- [38] H. Seraydaryan *et al.* (CLAS), Phys. Rev. C 89, 055206 (2014), arXiv: 1308.1363[hep-ex]
- [39] T. Mibe *et al.* (CLAS), Phys. Rev. C 76, 052202 (2007), arXiv: nucl-ex/0703013
- [40] T. Mibe *et al.* (LEPS), Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 182001 (2005), arXiv: nucl-ex/0506015
- [41] W. C. Chang et al., Phys. Lett. B 658, 209 (2008), arXiv: nucl-ex/0703034
- [42] T. Mibe *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 76, 052202 (2007)
- [43] T. Mibe *et al.* (LEPS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 182001 (2005)
- [44] R. Pohl et al. (CREMA), Science 353, 669 (2016)
- [45] P. Mohr, D. Newell, B. Taylor, and E. Tiesinga, CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants: 2022, (2024), arXiv: 2409.03787 [hep-ph].