Measurement of integrated luminosity of data collected at 3.773 GeV by BESIII from 2021 to 2024*

M. Ablikim (麦迪娜)¹ M. N. Achasov^{4,c} P. Adlarson⁷⁵ O. Afedulidis³ X. C. Ai (艾小聪)⁸⁰ R. Aliberti³⁵ A. Amoroso^{74A,74C} Q. An (安琪)^{71,58,a} Y. Bai (白羽)⁵⁷ O. Bakina³⁶ I. Balossino^{29A} Y. Ban (班勇)^{46,h} H.-R. Bao (包浩然)⁶³ V. Batozskaya^{1,44} K. Begzsuren³² N. Berger³⁵ M. Berlowski⁴⁴ M. Bertani^{28A} D. Bettoni^{29A} F. Bianchi^{74A,74C} E. Bianco^{74A,74C} A. Bortone^{74A,74C} I. Boyko³⁶ R. A. Briere⁵ A. Brueggemann⁶⁸ H. Cai (蔡浩)⁷⁶ X. Cai (蔡啸)^{1,58} A. Calcaterra^{28A} G. F. Cao (曹国富)^{1,63} N. Cao (曹宁)^{1,63} S. A. Cetin^{62A} J. F. Chang (常劲帆)^{1,58} G. R. Che (车国荣)⁴³ G. Chelkov^{36,b} C. Chen (陈琛)⁴³ C. H. Chen (陈春卉)⁹ Chao Chen (陈超)⁵⁵ G. Chen (陈刚)¹ H. S. Chen (陈和生)^{1,63} H. Y. Chen (陈弘扬)²⁰ M. L. Chen (陈玛丽)^{1,58,63} S. J. Chen (陈申见)⁴² S. L. Chen (陈思璐)⁴⁵ S. M. Chen (陈少敏)⁶¹ T. Chen (陈通)^{1,63} X. R. Chen (陈旭荣)^{31,63} X. T. Chen (陈肖婷)^{1,63} Y. B. Chen (陈元柏)^{1,58} Y. Q. Chen³⁴ Z. J. Chen (陈卓俊)^{25,i} Z. Y. Chen (陈正元)^{1,63} S. K. Choi¹⁰ G. Cibinetto^{29A} F. Cossio^{74C} J. J. Cui (崔佳佳)⁵⁰ H. L. Dai (代洪亮)^{1,58} J. P. Dai (代建平)⁷⁸ A. Dbeyssi¹⁸ R. E. de Boer³ D. Dedovich³⁶ C. Q. Deng (邓创旗)⁷² Z. Y. Deng (邓子艳)¹ A. Denig³⁵ I. Denysenko³⁶ M. Destefanis^{74A,74C} F. De Mori^{74A,74C} B. Ding (丁彪)^{66,1} X. X. Ding (丁晓萱)^{46,h} Y. Ding (丁勇)⁴⁰ Y. Ding (丁逸)³⁴ J. Dong (董静)^{1,58} L. Y. Dong (董燎原)^{1,63} M. Y. Dong (董明义)^{1,58,63} X. Dong (董翔)⁷⁶ M. C. Du (杜蒙川)¹ S. X. Du (杜书先)⁸⁰ Y. Y. Duan (段尧予)⁵⁵ Z. H. Duan (段宗欢)⁴² P. Egorov^{36,b} Y. H. Fan (范宇晗)⁴⁵ J. Fang (方建)^{1,58} J. Fang (方进)⁵⁹ S. S. Fang (房双世)^{1,63} W. X. Fang (方文兴)¹ Y. Fang (方易)¹ Y. Q. Fang (方亚泉)^{1,58} R. Farinelli^{29A} L. Fava^{74B,74C} F. Feldbauer³ G. Felici^{28A} C. Q. Feng (封常青)^{71,58} J. H. Feng (冯俊华)⁵⁹ Y. T. Feng (冯玙潼)^{71,58} M. Fritsch³ C. D. Fu (傅成栋)¹ J. L. Fu (傅金林)⁶³ Y. W. Fu (傅亦威)^{1,63} H. Gao (高涵)⁶³ X. B. Gao (高鑫博)⁴¹ Y. N. Gao (高原宁)^{46,h} Yang Gao (高扬)^{71,58} S. Garbolino^{74C} I. Garzia^{29A,29B} L. Ge (葛玲)⁸⁰ P. T. Ge (葛潘婷)⁷⁶ Z. W. Ge (葛振武)⁴² C. Geng (耿聪)⁵⁹ E. M. Gersabeck⁶⁷ A. Gilman⁶⁹ K. Goetzen¹³ L. Gong (龚丽)⁴⁰ W. X. Gong (龚文煊)^{1,58} W. Gradl³⁵ S. Gramigna^{29A,29B} M. Greco^{74A,74C} M. H. Gu (顾旻皓)^{1,58} Y. T. Gu (顾运厅)¹⁵ C. Y. Guan (关春懿)^{1,63} A. Q. Guo (郭爱强)^{31,63} L. B. Guo (郭立波)⁴¹ M. J. Guo (国梦娇)⁵⁰ R. P. Guo (郭如盼)⁴⁹ Y. P. Guo (郭玉萍)^{12,g} A. Guskov^{36,b} J. Gutierrez²⁷ K. L. Han (韩坤霖)⁶³ T. T. Han (韩婷婷)¹ F. Hanisch³ X. Q. Hao (郝喜庆)¹⁹ F. A. Harris⁶⁵ K. K. He (何凯凯)⁵⁵ K. L. He (何康林)^{1,63} F. H. Heinsius³ C. H. Heinz³⁵ Y. K. Heng (衡月昆)^{1,58,63} C. Herold⁶⁰ T. Holtmann³ P. C. Hong (洪鹏程)³⁴ G. Y. Hou (侯国一)^{1,63} X. T. Hou (侯贤涛)^{1,63} Y. R. Hou (侯颖锐)⁶³ Z. L. Hou (侯治龙)¹ B. Y. Hu (胡碧颖)⁵⁹ H. M. Hu (胡海明)^{1,63} J. F. Hu (胡继峰)^{56,j} S. L. Hu (胡圣亮)^{12,g} T. Hu (胡涛)^{1,58,63} Y. Hu (胡誉)¹ G. S. Huang (黄光顺)^{71,58} K. X. Huang (黃凯旋)⁵⁹ L. Q. Huang (黃麟软)^{31,63} X. T. Huang (黃性涛)⁵⁰ Y. P. Huang (黃燕萍)¹ Y. S. Huang (黄永盛)⁵⁹ T. Hussain⁷³ F. Hölzken³ N. Hüsken³⁵ N. in der Wiesche⁶⁸ J. Jackson²⁷ S. Janchiv³² J. H. Jeong¹⁰ Q. Ji (纪全)¹ Q. P. Ji (姬清平)¹⁹ W. Ji (季旺)^{1,63} X. B. Ji (季晓斌)^{1,63} X. L. Ji (季筱璐)^{1,58} Y.Y.Ji (吉钰瑶)⁵⁰ X.Q. Jia (贾晓倩)⁵⁰ Z.K. Jia (贾泽坤)^{71,58} D. Jiang (姜地)^{1,63} H.B. Jiang (姜候兵)⁷⁶ P. C. Jiang (蒋沛成)^{46,h} S. S. Jiang (姜赛赛)³⁹ T. J. Jiang (蒋庭俊)¹⁶ X. S. Jiang (江晓山)^{1,58,63} Y. Jiang (蒋艺)⁶³

Received 9 June 2024; Accepted 19 August 2024; Published online 20 August 2024

^{*} Supported in part by the National Key R&D Program of China (2020YFA0406400, 2020YFA0406300, 2023YFA1606000), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (123B2077, 12035009, 11635010, 11735014, 11875054, 11935015, 11935016, 11935018, 11961141012, 12025502, 12035013, 12061131003, 12192260, 12192261, 12192262, 12192263, 12192264, 12192265, 12221005, 12225509, 12235017, 12361141819), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) Large-Scale Scientific Facility Program, the CAS Center for Excellence in Particle Physics (CCEPP), the Joint Large-Scale Scientific Facility Funds of the NSFC and CAS (U2032104, U1832207), the Excellent Youth Foundation of Henan Scientific Commitee (242300421044), 100 Talents Program of CAS, the Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPAC) and Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, German Research Foundation DFG (455635585, FOR5327, GRK 2149), Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Italy, Ministry of Development of Turkey (DPT2006K-120470), National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2022R1A2C1092335), National Science and Technology fund of Mongolia, National Science Research and Innovation Fund (NSRF) via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation of Thailand (B16F640076); Polish National Science Centre (2019/35/O/ST2/02907), the Swedish Research Council; U. S. Department of Energy (DE-FG02-05ER41374)

^{©2024} Chinese Physical Society and the Institute of High Energy Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Institute of Modern Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and IOP Publishing Ltd. All rights, including for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies, are reserved.

J. B. Jiao (焦健斌)⁵⁰ J. K. Jiao (焦俊坤)³⁴ Z. Jiao (焦铮)²³ S. Jin (金山)⁴² Y. Jin (金毅)⁶⁶ M. Q. Jing (荆茂强)^{1,63} X. M. Jing (景新媚)⁶³ T. Johansson⁷⁵ S. Kabana³³ N. Kalantar-Nayestanaki⁶⁴ X. L. Kang (康晓琳)⁹ X. S. Kang (康晓珅)⁴⁰ M. Kavatsyuk⁶⁴ B. C. Ke (柯百谦)⁸⁰ V. Khachatryan²⁷ A. Khoukaz⁶⁸ R. Kiuchi¹ O. B. Kolcu^{62A} B. Kopf³ M. Kuessner³ X. Kui (奎贤)^{1,63} N. Kumar²⁶ A. Kupsc^{44,75} W. Kühn³⁷ J. J. Lane⁶⁷ L. Lavezzi^{74A,74C} T. T. Lei (雷天天)^{71,58} Z. H. Lei (雷祚弘)^{71,58} M. Lellmann³⁵ T. Lenz³⁵ C. Li (李翠)⁴⁷ C. Li (李聪)⁴³ C. H. Li (李春花)³⁹ Cheng Li (李澄)^{71,58} D. M. Li (李德民)⁸⁰ F. Li (李飞)^{1,58} G. Li (李刚)¹ H. B. Li (李海波)^{1,63} H. J. Li (李惠静)¹⁹ H. N. Li (李衡讷)^{56j} Hui Li (李慧)⁴³ J. R. Li (李嘉荣)⁶¹ J. S. Li (李静舒)⁵⁹ K. Li (李科)¹ L. J. Li (李林健)^{1,63} L. K. Li (李龙科)¹ Lei Li (李蕾)⁴⁸ M. H. Li (李明浩)⁴³ P. R. Li (李培荣)^{38,k,1} Q. M. Li (李启铭)^{1,63} Q. X. Li (李起鑫)⁵⁰ R. Li (李燃)^{17,31} S. X. Li (李素娴)¹² T. Li (李腾)⁵⁰ W. D. Li (李卫东)^{1,63} W. G. Li (李卫国)^{1,a} X. Li (李旭)^{1,63} X. H. Li (李旭红)^{71,58} X. L. Li (李晓玲)⁵⁰ X. Y. Li (李晓宇)^{1,63} X. Z. Li (李绪泽)⁵⁹ Y. G. Li (李彦谷)^{46,h} Z. J. Li (李志军)⁵⁹ Z. Y. Li (李紫阳)⁷⁸ C. Liang (梁畅)⁴² H. Liang (梁浩)^{1,63} H. Liang (梁昊)^{71,58} Y. F. Liang (梁勇飞)⁵⁴ Y. T. Liang (梁羽铁)^{31,63} G. R. Liao (廖广睿)¹⁴ Y. P. Liao (廖一朴)^{1,63} J. Libby²⁶ A. Limphirat⁶⁰ C. C. Lin (蔺长城)⁵⁵ D. X. Lin (林德旭)^{31,63} T. Lin (林韬)¹ B. J. Liu (刘北江)¹ B. X. Liu (刘宝鑫)⁷⁶ C. Liu (刘成)³⁴ C. X. Liu (刘春秀)¹ F. Liu (刘芳)¹ F. H. Liu (刘福虎)⁵³ Feng Liu (刘峰)⁶ G. M. Liu (刘国明)^{56,j} H. Liu (刘昊)^{38,k,l} H. B. Liu (刘宏邦)¹⁵ H. H. Liu (刘欢欢)¹ H. M. Liu (刘怀民)^{1,63} Huihui Liu (刘汇慧)²¹ J. B. Liu (刘建北)^{71,58} J. Y. Liu (刘晶译)^{1,63} K. Liu (刘凯)^{38,k,1} K. Y. Liu (刘魁勇)⁴⁰ Ke Liu (刘珂)²² L. Liu (刘亮)^{71,58} L. C. Liu (刘良辰)⁴³ Lu Liu (刘露)⁴³ M. H. Liu (刘美宏)^{12,g} P. L. Liu (刘佩莲)¹ Q. Liu (刘倩)⁶³ S. B. Liu (刘树彬)^{71,58} T. Liu (刘桐)^{12,g} W. K. Liu (刘维克)⁴³ W. M. Liu (刘卫民)^{71,58} X. Liu (刘鑫)³⁹ X. Liu (刘翔)^{38,k,1} Y. Liu (刘英)^{38,k,1} Y. Liu (刘义)⁸⁰ Y. B. Liu (刘玉斌)⁴³ Z. A. Liu (刘振安)^{1,58,63} Z. D. Liu (刘宗德)⁹ Z. Q. Liu (刘智青)⁵⁰ X. C. Lou (娄辛丑)^{1,58,63} F. X. Lu (卢飞翔)⁵⁹ H. J. Lu (吕海江)²³ J. G. Lu (吕军光)^{1,58} X. L. Lu (陆小玲)¹ Y. Lu (卢宇)⁷ Y. P. Lu (卢云鹏)^{1,58} Z. H. Lu (卢泽辉)^{1,63} C. L. Luo (罗成林)⁴¹ J. R. Luo (罗家瑞)⁵⁹ M. X. Luo (罗民兴)⁷⁹ T. Luo (罗涛)^{12,g} X. L. Luo (罗小兰)^{1,58} X. R. Lyu (吕晓睿)⁶³ Y. F. Lyu (吕翌丰)⁴³ F. C. Ma (马凤才)⁴⁰ H. Ma (马衡)⁷⁸ H. L. Ma (马海龙)¹ J. L. Ma (马俊力)^{1,63} L. L. Ma (马连良)⁵⁰ L. R. Ma (马立瑞)⁶⁶ M. M. Ma (马明明)^{1,63} Q. M. Ma (马秋梅)¹ R. Q. Ma (马润秋)^{1,63} T. Ma (马腾)^{71,58} X. T. Ma (马晓天)^{1,63} X. Y. Ma (马骁妍)^{1,58} Y. Ma (马尧)^{46,h} Y. M. Ma (马玉明)³¹ F. E. Maas¹⁸ M. Maggiora^{74A,74C} S. Malde⁶⁹ Y. J. Mao (冒亚军)^{46,h} Z. P. Mao (毛泽普)¹ S. Marcello^{74A,74C} Z. X. Meng (孟召霞)⁶⁶ J. G. Messchendorp^{13,64} G. Mezzadri^{29A} H. Miao (妙晗)^{1,63} T. J. Min (闵天觉)⁴² R. E. Mitchell²⁷ X. H. Mo (莫晓虎)^{1,58,63} B. Moses²⁷ N. Yu. Muchnoi^{4,c} J. Muskalla³⁵ Y. Nefedov³⁶ F. Nerling^{18,e} L. S. Nie (聂麟苏)²⁰ I. B. Nikolaev^{4,c} Z. Ning (宁哲)^{1,58} S. Nisar^{11,m} Q. L. Niu (牛祺乐)^{38,k,1} W. D. Niu (牛文迪)⁵⁵ Y. Niu (牛艳)⁵⁰ S. L. Olsen⁶³ Q. Ouyang (欧阳群)^{1,58,63} S. Pacetti^{28B,28C} X. Pan (潘祥)⁵⁵ Y. Pan (潘越)⁵⁷ A. Pathak³⁴ Y. P. Pei (裴宇鹏)^{71,58} M. Pelizaeus³ H. P. Peng (彭海平)^{71,58} Y. Y. Peng (彭云翊)^{38,k,1} K. Peters^{13,e} J. L. Ping (平加伦)⁴¹ R. G. Ping (平荣刚)^{1,63} S. Plura³⁵ V. Prasad³³ F. Z. Qi (齐法制)¹ H. Qi (齐航)^{71,58} H. R. Qi (漆红荣)⁶¹ M. Qi (祁鸣)⁴² T. Y. Qi (齐天钰)^{12,g} S. Qian (钱森)^{1,58} W. B. Qian (钱文斌)⁶³ C. F. Qiao (乔从丰)⁶³ X. K. Qiao (乔晓珂)⁸⁰ J. J. Qin (秦佳佳)⁷² L. Q. Qin (秦丽清)¹⁴ L. Y. Qin (秦龙宇)^{71,58} X. P. Qin (覃潇平)^{12,g} X. S. Qin (秦小帅)⁵⁰ Z. H. Qin (秦中华)^{1,58} J. F. Qiu (邱进发)¹ Z. H. Qu (屈子皓)⁷² C. F. Redmer³⁵ K. J. Ren (任旷洁)³⁹ A. Rivetti^{74C} M. Rolo^{74C} G. Rong (荣刚)^{1,63} Ch. Rosner¹⁸ S. N. Ruan (阮氏宁)⁴³ N. Salone⁴⁴ A. Sarantsev^{36,d} Y. Schelhaas³⁵ K. Schoenning⁷⁵ M. Scodeggio^{29A} K. Y. Shan (尚科羽)^{12,g} W. Shan (单葳)²⁴ X. Y. Shan (单心钰)^{71,58} Z. J. Shang (尚子杰)^{38,k,1} J. F. Shangguan (上官剑锋)¹⁶ L. G. Shao (邵立港)^{1,63} M. Shao (邵明)^{71,58} C. P. Shen (沈成平)^{12,g} H. F. Shen (沈宏飞)^{1,8} W. H. Shen (沈文涵)⁶³ X. Y. Shen (沈肖雁)^{1,63} B. A. Shi (施伯安)⁶³ H. Shi (史华)^{71,58} H. C. Shi (石煌超)^{71,58} J. L. Shi (石家磊)^{12,g} J. Y. Shi (石京燕)¹ Q. Q. Shi (石勤强)⁵⁵ S. Y. Shi (史书宇)⁷² X. Shi (史欣)^{1,58} J. J. Song (宋娇娇)¹⁹ T. Z. Song (宋天资)⁵⁹ W. M. Song (宋维民)^{34,1} Y. J. Song (宋宇镜)^{12,g} Y. X. Song (宋昀轩)^{46,h,n} S. Sosio^{74A,74C} S. Spataro^{74A,74C} F. Stieler³⁵ Y. J. Su (栗杨捷)⁶³ G. B. Sun (孙光豹)⁷⁶ G. X. Sun (孙功星)¹ H. Sun (孙昊)⁶³ H. K. Sun (孙浩凯)¹ J. F. Sun (孙俊峰)¹⁹ K. Sun (孙开)⁶¹ L. Sun (孙亮)⁷⁶ S. S. Sun (孙胜森)^{1,63} T. Sun^{51,f} W. Y. Sun (孙文玉)³⁴ Y. Sun (孙源)⁹ Y. J. Sun (孙勇杰)^{71,58} Y. Z. Sun (孙永昭)¹ Z. Q. Sun (孙泽群)^{1,63} Z. T. Sun (孙振田)⁵⁰ C. J. Tang (唐昌建)⁵⁴

G. Y. Tang (唐光毅)¹ J. Tang (唐健)⁵⁹ M. Tang (唐嘉骏)^{71,58} Y. A. Tang (唐迎澳)⁷⁶ L. Y. Tao (陶璐燕)⁷² Q. T. Tao (陶秋田)^{25,i} M. Tat⁶⁹ J. X. Teng (滕佳秀)^{71,58} V. Thoren⁷⁵ W. H. Tian (田文辉)⁵⁹ Y. Tian (田野)^{31,63} Z. F. Tian (田喆飞)⁷⁶ I. Uman^{62B} Y. Wan (万宇)⁵⁵ S. J. Wang (王少杰)⁵⁰ B. Wang (王斌)¹ B. L. Wang (王滨龙)⁶³ Bo Wang (王博)^{71,58} D. Y. Wang (王大勇)^{46,h} F. Wang (王菲)⁷² H. J. Wang (王泓鉴)^{38,k,l} J. J. Wang (王家驹)⁷⁶ J. P. Wang (王吉鹏)⁵⁰ K. Wang (王科)^{1,58} L. L. Wang (王亮亮)¹ M. Wang (王萌)⁵⁰ N. Y. Wang (王南洋)⁶³ S. Wang (王顺)^{12,g} S. Wang (王石)^{38,k,l} T. Wang (王婷)^{12,g} T. J. Wang (王腾蛟)⁴³ W. Wang (王为)⁵⁹ W. Wang (王维)⁷² W. P. Wang (王维平)^{35,71,0} W. P. Wang (王维平)^{71,58} X. Wang (王轩)^{46,h} X. F. Wang (王雄飞)^{38,k,l} X. J. Wang (王希俊)³⁹ X. L. Wang (王小龙)^{12g} X. N. Wang (王新南)¹ Y. Wang (王亦)⁶¹ Y. D. Wang (王雅迪)⁴⁵ Y. F. Wang (王贻芳)^{1,58,63} Y. L. Wang (王艺龙)¹⁹ Y. N. Wang (王亚男)⁴⁵ Y. Q. Wang (王雨晴)¹ Yaqian Wang (王亚乾)¹⁷ Yi Wang (王义)⁶¹ Z. Wang (王铮)^{1,58} Z. L. Wang (王治浪)⁷² Z. Y. Wang (王至勇)^{1,63} Ziyi Wang (王子一)⁶³ D. H. Wei (魏代会)¹⁴ F. Weidner⁶⁸ S. P. Wen (文硕频)¹ Y. R. Wen (温亚冉)³⁹ U. Wiedner³ G. Wilkinson⁶⁹ M. Wolke⁷⁵ L. Wollenberg³ C. Wu (吴晨)³⁹ J. F. Wu (吴金飞)^{1,8} L. H. Wu (伍灵慧)¹ L. J. Wu (吴连近)^{1,63} X. Wu (吴潇)^{12,g} X. H. Wu (伍雄浩)³⁴ Y. Wu (吴言)^{71,58} Y. H. Wu (吴业昊)⁵⁵ Y. J. Wu (吴英杰)³¹ Z. Wu (吴智)^{1,58} L. Xia (夏磊)^{71,58} X. M. Xian (咸秀梅)³⁹ B. H. Xiang (向本后)^{1,63} T. Xiang (相腾)^{46,h} D. Xiao (肖栋)^{38,k,l} G. Y. Xiao (肖光延)⁴² S. Y. Xiao (肖素玉)¹ Y. L. Xiao (肖云龙)^{12,g} Z. J. Xiao (肖振军)⁴¹ C. Xie (谢陈)⁴² X. H. Xie (谢昕海)^{46,h} Y. Xie (谢勇)⁵⁰ Y. G. Xie (谢宇广)^{1,58} Y. H. Xie (谢跃红)⁶ Z. P. Xie (谢智鹏)^{71,58} T. Y. Xing (邢天宇)^{1,63} C. F. Xu^{1,63} C. J. Xu (许创杰)⁵⁹ G. F. Xu (许国发)¹ H. Y. Xu (许皓月)^{66,2,p} M. Xu (徐明)^{71,58} Q. J. Xu (徐庆君)¹⁶ Q. N. Xu³⁰ W. Xu (许威)¹ W. L. Xu (徐万伦)⁶⁶ X. P. Xu (徐新平)⁵⁵ Y. C. Xu (胥英超)⁷⁷ Z. S. Xu (许昭燊)⁶³ F. Yan (严芳)^{12,g} L. Yan (严亮)^{12,g} W. B. Yan (鄢文标)^{71,58} W. C. Yan (闫文成)⁸⁰ X. Q. Yan (严薛强)^{1,63} H. J. Yang (杨海军)^{51,f} H. L. Yang (杨昊霖)³⁴ H. X. Yang (杨洪勋)¹ T. Yang (杨涛)¹ Y. Yang (杨莹)^{12,g} Y. F. Yang (杨艳芳)⁴³ Y. F. Yang (杨翊凡)^{1,63} Y. X. Yang (杨逸翔)^{1,63} Z. W. Yang (杨政武)^{38,k,l} Z. P. Yao (姚志鹏)⁵⁰ M. Ye (叶梅)^{1,58} M. H. Ye (叶铭汉)⁸ J. H. Yin (殷俊昊)¹ Junhao Yin (殷俊昊)⁴³ Z. Y. You (尤郑昀)⁵⁹ B. X. Yu (俞伯祥)^{1,58,63} C. X. Yu (喻纯旭)⁴³ G. Yu (余刚)^{1,63} J. S. Yu (俞洁晟)^{25,i} T. Yu (于涛)⁷² X. D. Yu (余旭东)^{46,h} Y. C. Yu (于勇超)⁸⁰ C. Z. Yuan (苑长征)^{1,63} J. Yuan (袁菁)³⁴ J. Yuan (袁杰)⁴⁵ L. Yuan (袁丽)² S. C. Yuan (苑思成)^{1,63} Y. Yuan (袁野)^{1,63} Z. Y. Yuan (袁朝阳)⁵⁹ C. X. Yue (岳崇兴)³⁹ A. A. Zafar⁷³ F. R. Zeng (曾凡蕊)⁵⁰ S. H. Zeng (曾胜辉)⁷² X. Zeng (曾鑫)^{12,g} Y. Zeng (曾云)^{25,i} Y. J. Zeng (曾宇杰)⁵⁹ Y. J. Zeng (曾溢嘉)^{1,63} X. Y. Zhai (翟星晔)³⁴ Y. C. Zhai (翟云聪)⁵⁰ Y. H. Zhan (詹永华)⁵⁹ A. Q. Zhang (张安庆)^{1,63} B. L. Zhang (张伯伦)^{1,63} B. X. Zhang (张丙新)¹ D. H. Zhang (张丹昊)⁴³ G. Y. Zhang (张广义)¹⁹ H. Zhang (张晗)⁸⁰ H. Zhang (张豪)^{71,58} H. C. Zhang (张航畅)^{1,58,63} H. H. Zhang (张宏宏)³⁴ H. H. Zhang (张宏浩)⁵⁹ H. Q. Zhang (张华桥)^{1,58,63} H. R. Zhang (张浩然)^{71,58} H. Y. Zhang (章红宇)^{1,58} J. Zhang (张晋)⁵⁹ J. Zhang (张进)⁸⁰ J. J. Zhang (张进军)⁵² J. L. Zhang (张杰磊)²⁰ J. Q. Zhang (张敬庆)⁴¹ J. S. Zhang (张家声)^{12,g} J. W. Zhang (张家文)^{1,58,63} J. X. Zhang (张景旭)^{38,k,1} J. Y. Zhang (张建勇)¹ J. Z. Zhang (张景芝)^{1,63} Jianyu Zhang (张剑宇)⁶³ L. M. Zhang (张黎明)⁶¹ Lei Zhang (张雷)⁴² P. Zhang (张鹏)^{1,63} Q. Y. Zhang (张秋岩)³⁴ R.Y. Zhang (张若愚)^{38,k,1} S.H. Zhang (张水涵)^{1,63} Shulei Zhang (张书磊)^{25,i} X.D. Zhang (张小东)⁴⁵ X. M. Zhang (张晓梅)¹ X. Y. Zhang (张学尧)⁵⁰ Y. Zhang (张瑶)¹ Y. Zhang (张宇)⁷² Y. T. Zhang (张亚腾)⁸⁰ Y. H. Zhang (张银鸿)^{1,58} Y. M. Zhang (张悦明)³⁹ Yan Zhang (张言)^{71,58} Z. D. Zhang (张正德)¹ Z. H. Zhang (张泽恒)¹ Z. L. Zhang (张兆领)³⁴ Z. Y. Zhang (张振宇)⁷⁶ Z. Y. Zhang (张子羽)⁴³ Z. Z. Zhang (张子扬)⁴⁵ G. Zhao (赵光)¹ J. Y. Zhao (赵静宜)^{1,63} J. Z. Zhao (赵京周)^{1,58} L. Zhao (赵玲)¹ Lei Zhao (赵雷)^{71,58} M. G. Zhao (赵明刚)⁴³ N. Zhao (赵宁)⁷⁸ R. P. Zhao (赵若平)⁶³ S. J. Zhao (赵书俊)⁸⁰ Y. B. Zhao (赵豫斌)^{1,58} Y. X. Zhao (赵宇翔)^{31,63} Z. G. Zhao (赵政国)^{71,58} A. Zhemchugov^{36,b} B. Zheng (郑波)⁷² B. M. Zheng (郑变敏)³⁴ J. P. Zheng (郑建平)^{1,58} W. J. Zheng (郑文静)^{1,63} Y. H. Zheng (郑阳恒)⁶³ B. Zhong (钟彬)⁴¹ X. Zhong (钟鑫)⁵⁹ H. Zhou (周航)⁵⁰ J. Y. Zhou (周佳莹)³⁴ L. P. Zhou (周利鹏)^{1,63} S. Zhou (周帅)⁶ X. Zhou (周详)⁷⁶ X. K. Zhou (周晓康)⁶ X. R. Zhou (周小蓉)^{71,58} X. Y. Zhou (周兴玉)³⁹ Y. Z. Zhou (周祎卓)^{12,g} A. N. Zhu (朱傲男)⁶³ J. Zhu (朱江)⁴³ K. Zhu (朱凯)¹ K. J. Zhu (朱科军)^{1,58,63} K. S. Zhu (朱康帅)^{12,g} L. Zhu (朱林)³⁴ L. X. Zhu (朱琳萱)⁶³ S. H. Zhu (朱世海)⁷⁰ T. J. Zhu (朱腾蛟)^{12,g} W. D. Zhu (朱稳定)⁴¹

Y. C. Zhu (朱莹春)^{71,58} Z. A. Zhu (朱自安)^{1,63} J. H. Zou (邹佳恒)¹ J. Zu (祖健)^{71,58} (BESIII Collaboration) ¹Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, China ²Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China ³Bochum Ruhr-University, D-44780 Bochum, Germany ⁴Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS (BINP), Novosibirsk 630090, Russia ⁵Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA 6Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China ⁷Central South University, Changsha 410083, China ⁸China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing 100190, China ⁹China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, China ¹⁰Chung-Ang University, Seoul, 06974, Republic of Korea ¹¹COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Defence Road, Off Raiwind Road, 54000 Lahore, Pakistan ¹²Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China ¹³GSI Helmholtzcentre for Heavy Ion Research GmbH, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany ¹⁴Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, China ¹⁵Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China ¹⁶Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, China ¹⁷Hebei University, Baoding 071002, China ¹⁸Helmholtz Institute Mainz, Staudinger Weg 18, D-55099 Mainz, Germany ⁹Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, China ²⁰Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China ²¹Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471003, China ²²Henan University of Technology, Zhengzhou 450001, China ²³Huangshan College, Huangshan 245000, China ²⁴Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China ²⁵Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China ²⁶Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India ²⁷Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA ^{28A}INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, I-00044, Frascati, Italy ^{28B}INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, INFN Sezione di Perugia, I-06100, Perugia, Italy ^{28C}INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, University of Perugia, I-06100, Perugia, Italy ^{29A}INFN Sezione di Ferrara, INFN Sezione di Ferrara, I-44122, Ferrara, Italy ^{29B}INFN Sezione di Ferrara, University of Ferrara, I-44122, Ferrara, Italy ³⁰Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot 010021, China ³¹Institute of Modern Physics, Lanzhou 730000, China ³²Institute of Physics and Technology, Peace Avenue 54B, Ulaanbaatar 13330, Mongolia ³³Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7D, Arica 1000000, Chile ³⁴Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China ³⁵Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Johann-Joachim-Becher-Weg 45, D-55099 Mainz, Germany ³⁶Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia ³⁷Justus-Liebig-Universitaet Giessen, II. Physikalisches Institut, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 16, D-35392 Giessen, Germany ³⁸Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China ³⁹Liaoning Normal University, Dalian 116029, China ⁴⁰Liaoning University, Shenyang 110036, China ⁴¹Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China ⁴²Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China ⁴³Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China ⁴⁴National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw 02-093, Poland ⁴⁵North China Electric Power University, Beijing 102206, China ⁴⁶Peking University, Beijing 100871, China ⁴⁷Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, China ⁴⁸Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, China ⁴⁹Shandong Normal University, Jinan 250014, China ⁵⁰Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China ⁵¹Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China ⁵²Shanxi Normal University, Linfen 041004, China 53Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, China ⁵⁴Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China ⁵⁵Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China ⁵⁶South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China ⁵⁷Southeast University, Nanjing 211100, China ⁵⁸State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, Beijing 100049, Hefei 230026, China ⁵⁹Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China ⁶⁰Suranaree University of Technology, University Avenue 111, Nakhon Ratchasima 30000, Thailand ⁶¹Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

^{62A}Turkish Accelerator Center Particle Factory Group, Istinye University, 34010, Istanbul, Turkey

^{62B}Turkish Accelerator Center Particle Factory Group, Near East University, Nicosia, North Cyprus, 99138, Mersin 10, Turkey ⁶³University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China ⁶⁴University of Groningen, NL-9747 AA Groningen, The Netherlands 65University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, USA ⁶⁶University of Jinan, Jinan 250022, China ⁶⁷University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom ⁶⁸University of Muenster, Wilhelm-Klemm-Strasse 9, 48149 Muenster, Germany 69University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford OX13RH, United Kingdom ⁷⁰University of Science and Technology Liaoning, Anshan 114051, China ⁷¹University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China ⁷²University of South China, Hengyang 421001, China ⁷³University of the Punjab, Lahore-54590, Pakistan ^{74A}University of Turin and INFN, University of Turin, I-10125, Turin, Italy ^{74B}University of Turin and INFN, University of Eastern Piedmont, I-15121, Alessandria, Italy ^{4C}University of Turin and INFN, INFN, I-10125, Turin, Italy ⁷⁵Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden ⁷⁶Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China ⁷⁷Yantai University, Yantai 264005, China ⁷⁸Yunnan University, Kunming 650500, China ⁷⁹Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China 80Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, China ^aDeceased ^bAlso at the Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow 141700, Russia ^cAlso at the Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia ^dAlso at the NRC "Kurchatov Institute", PNPI, 188300, Gatchina, Russia eAlso at Goethe University Frankfurt, 60323 Frankfurt am Main, Germany Also at Key Laboratory for Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Ministry of Education; Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology; Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics, Shanghai 200240, China ^gAlso at Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) and Institute of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200443, China ^hAlso at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China Also at School of Physics and Electronics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China ^jAlso at Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China ^kAlso at MOE Frontiers Science Center for Rare Isotopes, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China Also at Lanzhou Center for Theoretical Physics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China ^mAlso at the Department of Mathematical Sciences, IBA, Karachi 75270, Pakistan ⁿAlso at Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland ^oAlso at Helmholtz Institute Mainz, Staudinger Weg 18, D-55099 Mainz, Germany PAlso at School of Physics, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

Abstract: We present a measurement of the integrated luminosity of e^+e^- collision data collected by the BESIII detector at the BEPCII collider at a center-of-mass energy of $E_{\rm cm} = 3.773$ GeV. The integrated luminosities of the datasets taken from December 2021 to June 2022, from November 2022 to June 2023, and from October 2023 to February 2024 were determined to be 4.995 ± 0.019 fb⁻¹, 8.157 ± 0.031 fb⁻¹, and 4.191 ± 0.016 fb⁻¹, respectively, by analyzing large angle Bhabha scattering events. The uncertainties are dominated by systematic effects, and the statistical uncertainties are negligible. Our results provide essential input for future analyses and precision measurements.

Keywords: Bhabha scattering events, integrated luminosity, cross-section

DOI: 10.1088/1674-1137/ad70a0

I. INTRODUCTION

Luminosity plays a crucial role in quantifying the size of a dataset and is a fundamental parameter for measuring various physics processes, particularly cross-sections. The number of events for process $e^+e^- \rightarrow X$ (where X denotes any possible final state) in e^+e^- collision data can be expressed as

$$N_{e^+e^- \to X} = \mathcal{L} \times \sigma_{e^+e^- \to X}(E_{\rm cm}), \tag{1}$$

where \mathcal{L} denotes the integrated luminosity of the dataset, $\sigma_{e^+e^- \to X}$ is the cross-section for process $e^+e^- \to X$, and $E_{\rm cm}$ is the center-of-mass energy. In principle, any process with a known cross-section can be used to determine luminosity. However, quantum electrodynamics (QED) processes are advantageous owing to their high production rates, simple final state topologies, and crosssections that are known with high theoretical precision.

Datasets at $E_{cm} = 3.773$ GeV were collected by the BESIII detector at the BEPCII collider from December

2021 to June 2022 (DATA I), from November 2022 to June 2023 (DATA II), and from October 2023 to February 2024 (DATA III) for systematically investigating the properties of $\psi(3770)$ and D meson production and decays. Accurately determining the luminosity of this dataset is important for various purposes. It is essential for measuring the cross-section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}$, calculating the normalization factors in strong-phase measurements of D^0 decays [1, 2], and reducing the systematic uncertainty of analyses using the single tag method [3]. Additionally, this luminosity is used to normalize the Monte Carlo (MC) sample size and to estimate the continuous background in the $\psi(3686)$ dataset [4, 5]. This paper presents a measurement of the integrated luminosity of DATA I, DATA II, and DATA III using large angle Bhabha scattering events. This dataset is currently the world's largest collection of e^+e^- collision data at the $\psi(3770)$ resonance peak.

II. BESIII DETECTOR AND MONTE CARLO SIMULATION

The BESIII detector [6] records energy-symmetric e^+e^- collisions provided by the BEPCII storage ring [7] at $E_{\rm cm}$ ranging from 2.0 to 4.95 GeV, with a peak luminosity of 1.1×10^{33} cm⁻²s⁻¹ achieved at $E_{cm} = 3.773$ GeV in 2023. BESIII has collected large data samples in this energy region [8-10]. The cylindrical core of the BESIII detector covers 93% of the full solid angle and consists of a helium-based multilayer drift chamber (MDC), plastic scintillator time-of-flight system (TOF), and CsI(Tl) electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC), which are all enclosed in a superconducting solenoidal magnet providing a 1.0 T magnetic field. The solenoid is supported by an octagonal flux-return yoke with resistive plate counter muon identification modules interleaved with steel. The chargedparticle momentum resolution at 1 GeV/c is 0.5%, and the dE/dx resolution is 6% for electrons from Bhabha scattering. The EMC measures photon energies with a resolution of 2.5% (5%) at 1 GeV in the barrel (end cap) region. The time resolution in the TOF barrel region is 68 ps, while that in the end cap region is 60 ps [11-13].

Simulated data samples produced with a GEANT4based [14] Monte Carlo (MC) package, which includes the geometric description of the BESIII detector and the detector response, were used to determine detection efficiencies and estimate backgrounds. The QED processes were simulated with the Babayaga@NLO generator [15–18]. The width of $\psi(3770)$, beam energy spread, initial state radiation (ISR), and final state radiation (FSR) were considered in the simulation. The configuration parameters of the Bhabha signal MC ($e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)e^+e^-$) are listed in Table 1. Regarding other processes, the simulation modeled the beam energy spread and ISR in the $e^+e^$ annihilations with the KKMC generator [19, 20]. The inclusive MC sample was used to simulate all possible processes of e^+e^- collision, including the production of $D\bar{D}$ pairs (with quantum coherence for the neutral *D* channels), the non- $D\bar{D}$ decays of $\psi(3770)$, ISR production of the J/ψ and $\psi(3686)$ states, and continuum processes incorporated in KKMC [19, 20].

All particle decays were modeled with EVTGEN [21, 22] using branching fractions either taken from the Particle Data Group [23], when available, or otherwise estimated with LUNDCHARM [24]. FSR from charged final state particles was incorporated using the PHOTOS package [25].

In the simulation above, the run-by-run based calibration was applied, considering the beam energy fluctuations and other time-dependent effects. The duration of each run was typically one hour. The calibration of $E_{\rm cm}$, which takes advantage of the small uncertainty in known D mass m_D [23] and the excellent resolution of the MDC and EMC, was carried out using the following equation:

$$E_{\rm cm} = 2E_D, E_D^2 = E_0^2 + (m_D c^2)^2 - (M_{\rm BC}^{\rm fit} c^2)^2.$$
(2)

Here, E_0 is the uncalibrated beam energy, *i.e.*, 3.773 GeV, and M_{BC}^{fit} denotes the fitted peak of the beam-constrained mass of the *D* mesons. This is calculated using $M_{BC}^{fit}c^2 = \sqrt{E_0^2 - p_D^2c^2}$, where p_D is the momentum of *D* measured in the center-of-mass system of the e^+e^- collision using decays $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$, and $D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+$. Essentially, Eq. (2) is equivalent to $E_D^2 = p^2c^2 + m_D^2c^4$. The distributions of M_{BC} , instead of p_D , are used because M_{BC} offers better resolution, and the fit to M_{BC} is more easily controlled. According to the above analysis, calibrated E_{cm} varies by 2–3 MeV around the expected 3.773 GeV with an uncertainty of approximately 0.02 MeV. Details of the fit and particle reconstruction are introduced in Ref. [26].

III. METHOD

The integrated luminosity of data is usually measured with three QED processes: $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)e^+e^-$, $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\gamma\gamma$, and $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\mu^+\mu^-$. Symbol "(γ)" represents the possible presence of photon(s) resulting from ISR or FSR. Given that the uncertainties associated with reconstructing elec-

 Table 1.
 Configuration of the Babayaga@NLO generator used to simulate Bhabha events.

Parameter	Value	
Center-of-mass energy	Calibrated $E_{\rm cm}$	
Beam energy spread	0.97 MeV	
Minimum $\cos \theta$	-0.83	
Maximum $\cos\theta$	0.83	

trons are smaller than those of photons and muons, and the statistical uncertainty of Bhabha events is almost negligible, only Bhabha events were used to measure luminosity. Furthermore, Bhabha events at small angles, i.e., in the direction of the e^+e^- beam, predominantly interact with the end cap region of the detector. This region exhibits more gaps and worse resolution compared to the barrel region. The generator simulation at small angles is also less precise. Given that large angle Bhabha events provide a negligible statistical uncertainty, we exclusively used them to measure the integrated luminosity of the dataset. The large angle region is defined as $|\cos\theta| < 0.83$, where θ is the polar angle of the final state electron (positron) relative to the beam direction.

The integrated luminosity of data is determined by

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i} \frac{N_i^{\text{obs}} \times (1 - \eta)}{\sigma_i \times \epsilon_i \times \epsilon^{\text{trig}}}.$$
(3)

In this equation, index *i* indicates different runs, and the integrated luminosity of the dataset is obtained by summing over these runs. Variables N_i^{obs} , σ_i , ϵ_i , η , and ϵ^{trig} represent the number of observed Bhabha-event candidates, the production cross-section of the Bhabha process, detection efficiency, contamination rate, and trigger efficiency for collecting events in the on-line data acquisition system, respectively. The Babayaga@NLO generator [15–18] was employed, with E_{cm} calibrated on a runby-run basis, to calculate the cross-section, generate signal MC events for process $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)e^+e^-$, and estimate the detection efficiency.

IV. LUMINOSITY MEASUREMENT

A. Event selection

Candidate Bhabha events were required to have exactly two oppositely charged tracks detected in the MDC. Each track was required to satisfy a distance of closest approach to the interaction point of less than 10 cm along the *z* axis (the symmetry axis of the MDC) and less than 1 cm in the transverse plane. Additionally, each candidate track was required to lie within polar angle region $|\cos\theta| < 0.8$ to ensure interaction with the barrel of the EMC.

To suppress the $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\mu^+\mu^-$ background, deposited energy $E_{\rm EMC}$ in the EMC by each track, shown in Fig. 1, was required to fall within the $1.0 < E_{\rm EMC} < 2.5$ GeV range. Furthermore, the sum of the momenta of both tracks, shown in Fig. 2, was required to be larger than $0.9 \times E_{\rm cm}$ to suppress background events, which mainly arise from processes involving J/ψ in the final state, *e.g.*, $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)J/\psi$, $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\psi(3686) \rightarrow (\gamma)J/\psi X$, and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow (\gamma)J/\psi X$. To eliminate the back-



Fig. 1. (color online) Distribution of $E_{\text{EMC}}^{e^+}$ versus $E_{\text{EMC}}^{e^-}$ from a subset of the data sample. The cluster concentrated at the upper right corner is the Bhabha signal, whereas the small cluster at the lower left corner is the $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\mu^+\mu^-$ background. The horizontal and vertical bands are caused by ISR and FSR in the Bhabha and $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\mu^+\mu^-$ events.

ground from energetic cosmic rays, the momentum of each track was required to be less than $E_{\rm cm}/2 + 0.30$ GeV.

The two oppositely charged tracks in the candidate Bhabha scattering events are produced to be back to back. However, owing to the presence of a magnetic field, their trajectories are bent, resulting in their corresponding shower clusters in the *xy*-plane of the EMC not being back to back. To quantify this angular difference, variable $\delta\phi$ is defined as $|\phi_1 - \phi_2| - 180^\circ$, where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are the azimuthal angles of the two clusters in the EMC. The distribution of $\delta\phi$ is shown in Fig. 3; it was imposed that $5^\circ < |\delta\phi| < 40^\circ$. This constraint effectively eliminates the background from the $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\gamma\gamma$ process.

B. Background estimation

Residual background events stem from various sources, such as the ISR production of J/ψ and $\psi(3686)$, $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\gamma\gamma$, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}$, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow$ non- $D\bar{D}$, and $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ continuum processes. These background contributions are estimated by analyzing the corresponding background MC events. The contamination rate for the candidate Bhabha events is calculated as $\eta = N_{\text{bkg}}^{\text{MC}}/(N_{\text{BB}}^{\text{MC}} + N_{\text{bkg}}^{\text{MC}})$, where $N_{\text{BB}}^{\text{MC}}$ and $N_{\text{bkg}}^{\text{MC}}$ are the numbers of Bhabha and background MC events that satisfy the selection criteria, respectively. These numbers are normalized according to the individual cross-sections. The resulting contamination rate is $\eta = 3 \times 10^{-4}$.

C. Detection efficiency and cross-section

To estimate the detection efficiency for the Bhabha events, an $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)e^+e^-$ signal MC sample was generated for each run using calibrated $E_{\rm cm}$ and the



Fig. 2. (color online) Distribution of $p_{e^+} + p_{e^-}$ from a subset of the data sample. The background is not visible owing to the very low contamination rate of $\eta = 3 \times 10^{-4}$. The pink arrow indicates the $> 0.9 \times E_{cm}$ requirement.



Fig. 3. (color online) Distribution of $\delta\phi$ between the selected e^+ and e^- tracks from a subset of the data sample. The background is not visible owing to the very low contamination rate of $\eta = 3 \times 10^{-4}$. The pink arrows indicate the $5^{\circ} < |\delta\phi| < 40^{\circ}$ contraint.

Babayaga@NLO generator [15-18]. The MC samples were generated within the range of $|\cos \theta| < 0.83$, where θ represents the polar angle of final states e^+ and e^- . By applying the same selection criteria as those used in the data analysis to these MC samples, the efficiency was calculated as the ratio of the number of selected signal MC events to the generated number of events. The cross-section for each run was also estimated using Babayaga@ NLO, taking into account the calibrated E_{cm} of each run. A total of 2 billion signal MC events were generated, which corresponds to approximately the same size as that of the data. This large sample size allows neglecting the uncertainty arising from the statistics of the signal MC sample. The detection efficiency and cross-section within $|\cos\theta| < 0.83$ at 3.773 GeV were determined to be 61.09% and 147.47 nb, respectively, with fluctuations on the order of 0.1% for different runs due to variations in $E_{\rm cm}$.

D. Integrated luminosities

The number of observed Bhabha events for each run N_i^{obs} was determined by counting the events that satisfy the selection criteria outlined in Sec. IV.A. In total, 450.97×10^6 , 736.88×10^6 , and 379.45×10^6 events were obtained for DATA I, DATA II, and DATA III, respectively. The trigger efficiency ϵ^{trig} for collecting $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)e^+e^-$ events was measured to be 100% with a statistical uncertainty of less than 0.1% [27].

Inserting the number of observed Bhabha events, the trigger efficiency, contamination rate (Sec. IV.B), detection efficiency, and cross-sections within $|\cos\theta| < 0.83$ (Sec. IV.C) into Eq. (3), the integrated luminosities were determined to be 4.995 ± 0.019 fb⁻¹ for DATA I, 8.157 ± 0.031 fb⁻¹ for DATA II, and 4.191 ± 0.016 fb⁻¹ for DATA III, with negligible statistical uncertainties. Systematic uncertainties are discussed in the next subsection.

E. Systematic uncertainty

The systematic uncertainty arising from the MDC information, which includes the MDC tracking efficiency and momentum requirement, was determined to be 0.29% by comparing the integrated luminosities measured with and without MDC information. The distribution of $\delta\phi$ without MDC information applied is shown in Fig. 4; the efficiency increases by approximately 5%. The dominant background is $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\gamma\gamma$, which is at the 0.15% level. The systematic uncertainty associated with the $E_{\rm EMC}$ requirements was estimated by varying the requirement from 1.0 to 0.9 or 1.1 GeV. This variation resulted in a change of 0.16% in the luminosity for both tracks. To estimate the systematic uncertainty caused by the $\cos\theta$ requirement, the integrated luminosity was determined with $|\cos\theta| < 0.75$ or 0.70, and the difference from the standard selection of $|\cos\theta| < 0.80$ was found to be 0.13% for both tracks, which is assigned as the corresponding sys-



Fig. 4. (color online) Distribution of $\delta\phi$ between the selected e^+ and e^- tracks without MDC information from a subset of the data sample. The peak at $\delta\phi = 0$ is the $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\gamma\gamma$ background.

	-
Source	Uncertainty (%)
MDC information	0.29
$E_{ m EMC}$	0.16
$\cos heta$	0.13
$\delta \phi$	0.02
Trigger	0.10
Generator	0.10
Total	0.38

Table 2. Relative systematic uncertainties in the luminosity determination.

Table 3. Numerical results for DATA I, DATA II, and DATA III along with the data collected from 2010 to 2011 (DATA 2010) [27], number of observed events (N_{obs}) , integrated luminosity (\mathcal{L}) , and numbers of $D^0 \bar{D}^0 (N_{D^0 \bar{D}^0})$ and $D^+ D^- (N_{D^+ D^-})$.

Sample	$N_{\rm obs}~(10^6)$	\mathcal{L} /fb ⁻¹	$N_{D^0\bar{D}^0}$ (10 ⁶)	$N_{D^+D^-}$ (10 ⁶)
DATA I	450.97	4.995 ± 0.019	18.06 ± 0.21	14.14 ± 0.16
DATA II	736.88	8.157 ± 0.031	29.49 ± 0.34	23.08 ± 0.25
DATA III	379.45	4.191 ± 0.016	15.15 ± 0.18	11.86 ± 0.13
DATA 2010 [27]	283.95	2.932 ± 0.014	10.60 ± 0.13	8.30 ± 0.09
TOTAL	1851.25	20.275 ± 0.077	73.29 ± 0.84	57.38 ± 0.61

tematic uncertainty. The uncertainty due to the $\delta\phi$ signal region selection was estimated to be 0.02% by comparing the integrated luminosities obtained by changing the lower limit from 5° to 0°, or the higher limit from 40° to 20° or 30°. The uncertainty arising from the trigger efficiency [27] and the theoretically calculated cross-section using the Babayaga@NLO generator [17, 28] are both 0.1%. The uncertainties from the MC statistics and the contamination rate are negligible.

All contributions to the systematic uncertainty are summarized in Table 2. The total systematic uncertainty was obtained by adding all individual contributions in quadrature.

V. SUMMARY

By analyzing large angle Bhabha scattering events, we measured the integrated luminosity of the e^+e^- collision data collected at $E_{\rm cm} = 3.773$ GeV from 2021 to 2024

References

- M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 241802 (2020)
- [2] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 101, 112002 (2020)
- [3] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 765, 231 (2018)
- [4] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Chin. Phys. C 42, 023001 (2018)
- [5] M. Ablikim et al. (BESIII Collaboration), JHEP 11, 217

with the BESIII detector at the BEPCII collider. These are crucial normalization factors for experimental studies of the production and decays of the $\psi(3770)$ and Dmesons. Using the cross-sections of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0) =$ $(3.615 \pm 0.010_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.038_{\text{syst}})$ nb and $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+D^-) =$ $(2.830 \pm 0.011_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.026_{\text{syst}})$ nb [29], one can obtain the numbers of $D^0\bar{D}^0$ and D^+D^- pairs in data. All numerical results are summarized in Table 3. Along with the (2.932 ± 0.014) fb⁻¹ data collected from 2010 to 2011 [30, 31], BESIII has accumulated data sets with a total integrated luminosity of (20.275 ± 0.077) fb⁻¹ at $E_{cm} = 3.773$ GeV. These results offer fundamental inputs for physics analyses based on these data samples [32, 33].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The BESIII Collaboration thanks the staff of BEPCII and the IHEP computing center for their strong support.

(2021)

- [6] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. Sect. A 614, 345 (2010)
- [7] C. H. Yu *et al.*, Korea (2016)
- [8] M. Ablikim et al., Chin. Phys. C 44, 040001 (2020)
- [9] J. Lu, Y. Xiao, and X. Ji, Radiat. Detect. Technol. Methods 4, 337 (2020)
- [10] J. W. Zhang et al., Radiat. Detect. Technol. Methods 6, 289 (2022)
- [11] X. Li et al., Radiat. Detect. Technol. Methods 1, 13 (2017)
- [12] Y. X. Guo et al., Radiat. Detect. Technol. Methods 1, 15

(2017)

- [13] P. Cao *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **953**, 163053 (2020)
- [14] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 506, 250 (2003)
- [15] C. M. Carloni Calame *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 131, 48 (2004)
- [16] G. Balossini et al., Nucl. Phys. B 758, 227 (2006)
- [17] G. Balossini *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **663**, 209 (2008)
- [18] S. Actis et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 66, 585 (2010)
- [19] S. Jadach, B. F. L. Ward, and Z. Was, Phys. Rev. D 63, 113009 (2001)
- [20] S. Jadach, B. F. L. Ward, and Z. Was, Comput. Phys. Commun. 130, 260 (2000)
- [21] D. J. Lange, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 462, 152 (2001)
- [22] R. G. Ping, Chin. Phys. C 32, 599 (2008)
- [23] R. L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2022**, 083C01 (2022)

- [24] J. C. Chen, G. S. Huang, X. R. Qi et al., Phys. Rev. D 62, 034003 (2000)
- [25] E. Richter-Was, Phys. Lett. B 303, 163 (1993)
- [26] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Chin. Phys. C 46, 113003 (2022)
- [27] N. Berger et al., Chin. Phys. C 34, 1779 (2010)
- [28] C. M. Carloni Calame, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini *et al.*, EPJ Web Conf. **218**, 07004 (2019)
- [29] M. Ablikim et al., Chin. Phys. C 42, 083001 (2018)
- [30] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 753, 629 (2016)
- [31] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Chin. Phys. C 37, 123001 (2013)
- [32] B. C. Ke, J. Koponen, H. B. Li *et al.*, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 73, 285 (2023)
- [33] H. B. Li and X. R. Lyu, Natl. Sci. Rev. 8, nwab181 (2021)