p/π^+ response of single layer THGEM detector in Ar+3% iC₄H₁₀^{*}

HONG Dao-Jin(洪道金)^{1,2,3} YU Bo-Xiang(俞伯祥)^{3,4} LIU Hong-Bang(刘宏邦)^{1,2;1)} HE Xiao-Rong(何小荣)¹ AN Guang-Peng(安广朋)^{3,4} CHEN Hai-Tao(陈海涛)^{3,4} CHEN Shi(陈石)² HU Tao(胡涛)^{3,4} LI Jia-Cai(李家才)^{3,4} LIU Qian (刘倩)² NIU Shun-Li(牛顺利)^{3,4} RUAN Xiang-Dong(阮向东)¹ XIE Yi-Gang(谢一冈)^{2,4} ZHANG Xuan(张烜)^{3,4} ZHENG Yang-Heng(郑阳恒)²

¹ Department of Physics, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China

² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

 3 State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, Beijing 100049, China

⁴ Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Abstract: In this work, we study the response of a single layer Thick Gaseous Electron Multiplier (THGEM) detector to p/π^+ at the E3 line of the Beijing Test Beam Facility. The THGEM detector drift gap used in this study is 4 mm, and the gain of the detector operated in Ar+3% iC₄H₁₀ is about 2000. p/π^+ particles at momenta between 500 MeV/c and 1000 MeV/c are distinguished by a Time Of Flight system. Our results show that at the measured momenta, detection efficiencies for p are from 93% to 99%, and for π^+ in the range of 82%–88%. Meanwhile, simple Geant4 simulations also have been done, and are consistent with the amplitude spectra of the beam test results. We preliminarily study the feasibility of the THGEM detector as a sampling element for a Digital Hadronic Calorimeter (DHCAL), which may provide support for the possible application of a THGEM detector in the Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC) HCAL.

Key words: CEPC, DHCAL, THGEM, p; π^+ , detection efficiency PACS: 29.40.Cs DOI: 10.1088/1674-1137/39/9/096002

1 Introduction

In recent years, Thick Gaseous Electron Multiplier (THGEM) detectors have been studied extensively. The THGEM is a simple, cheap, and robust detector, offering a rise time of a few ns and sub mm spatial resolution. Due to its good performance, the THGEM detector has many meaningful applications. It is not only used in X-ray diffraction [1] and X-ray imaging [2] but also as a cosmic-ray muon hodoscope [3] and as a UV-photon detector for RICH [4].

Currently, the Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC) Higgs factory is being proposed for the purpose of taking precision measurements of the Higgs particle, and the Digital Hadronic Calorimeter (DHCAL) will play a crucial role in this research. As one of the DHCAL candidates [5], THGEM/GEM is attractive due to its abovementioned properties. A large area THGEM detector may be considered for the DHCAL sampling element. Moreover, there have already been studies on THGEMbased DHCAL internationally [6, 7], but related research has rarely been done in China [8]. This paper reports preliminary beam test results at the E3 line of the Beijing Test Beam Facility, with the aim of studying the response of a single layer THGEM detector to p/π^+ at different momenta. A THGEM detector with 4 mm drift gap and 2 mm induction gap operated in Ar+3% iC₄H₁₀ has been extensively studied in the lab [9], so a THGEM detector under these conditions was selected as the research object. Based on the whole results, including amplitude spectra, p/π^+ detection efficiencies, and Geant4 simulation results, the applicability of the THGEM detector as a DHCAL sampling element may be expected.

2 Experimental setup

2.1 Experimental setup

The beam test was conducted at the E3 line of the Beijing Test Beam Facility, which is generated by shooting a target with a 2.5 GeV/c electron beam. This provides a mixed beam, including e, π^+ , and p, and the maximum particle counting rate is about 3 Hz. The electrons

Received 25 November 2014, Revised 14 April 2015

^{*} Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11265003, U1431109, 11205240) and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics

¹⁾ E-mail: liuhb@gxu.edu.cn

 $[\]odot 2015$ Chinese Physical Society and the Institute of High Energy Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Institute of Modern Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and IOP Publishing Ltd

are identified by a Cherenkov detector and p/π^+ are distinguished by their different flight time with a Time Of Flight (TOF) system. In our experiment, mainly p and π^+ are measured.

The simple setup of the beam test is shown in Fig. 1. There are three plastic scintillator detectors (TOF1, TOF2 and TOF3) on the beam line, and the THGEM detector is located between TOF2 (5 cm×5 cm) and TOF3 (3 cm×3 cm). In this work, TOF1 (5 cm×5 cm) and TOF3 are used to provide a trigger for a CAEN DT5751. With TOF2 added for a triple coincidence, the real p/π^+ events can be further purified by reducing fake events.



Fig. 1. Experimental setup.

Figure 2 shows the electronics system of this experiment. The THGEM detector signal is read out on a single pad, combined with a charge sensitive preamplifier (ORTEC 142AH), and followed by a linear shaping amplifier (CAEN N968) with 0.5 μ s shaping time, a coarse gain of 50 and a fine gain of 1. Once the DT5751 is triggered by the coincidence signal, the signals from the THGEM detector and TOF detectors are recorded by the DT5751 data acquisition program, thus acquiring the waveforms of the signals from the four channels.



Fig. 2. Electronics system.

Table 1. Flight time of p/π^+ at a distance of 3.85 m.

momentum	$\pi^+(139.657 \text{ MeV}/c^2)$		$p(938.279 \text{ MeV}/c^2)$	
MeV/c	$E/{\rm MeV}$	t/ns	$E/{\rm MeV}$	t/ns
500	519.1	13.289	1063.2	27.217
600	616.0	13.142	1113.7	23.761
700	713.8	13.052	1170.6	21.405
800	812.1	12.994	1233.0	19.729
900	910.8	12.954	1300.1	18.489
1000	1009.7	12.924	1371.3	17.554

The flight time of p/π^+ is set as the difference between TOF1 and TOF3, the distance between TOF1 and TOF3 being about 3.85 m for this test. According to relativity theory, the flight time of p and π^+ at different momenta can be calculated as shown in Table 1, from which p and π^+ can be distinguished clearly.

2.2 The THGEM detector

The single layer THGEM used in this study is $5 \text{ cm} \times 5 \text{ cm}$ in area and 0.2 mm thick, the holes have 0.5 mm pitch, 0.2 mm diameter, and 10 µm rim. The detector, which has a 4 mm drift gap and 2 mm induction gap, is operated in Ar+3% iC₄H₁₀. A schematic of the THGEM detector is shown in Fig. 3. The electric fields E_{drift} and E_{ind} of the THGEM detector combined with a preamplifier followed by a linear shaping amplifier are set to be 640 V/cm and 2 kV/cm. The THGEM voltage is 545 V, thus the gain of the THGEM detector is approximately 2000 [10], which is sufficient for this test, and which also avoids high voltage discharge in the detector.



Fig. 3. Schematic of the THGEM detector.

2.3 Measurement of baseline and detector stability

To test the response of the detector without beam condition, the baseline of the THGEM detector has been measured with a random trigger. Fig. 4 shows the results: the data can be fitted with a Gaussian function, with the mean and sigma of the Gaussian distribution being about 180 mV and 5 mV, respectively. A threshold value for the signal could be set at the mean plus 3 times sigma, so if the THGEM detector signal is higher than 195 mV, it is considered to be a valid event.

We also check the gain of the THGEM detector by calibration with ⁵⁵Fe. The ⁵⁵Fe signal is attenuated by 3 dB before being fed into the DT5751, the result is shown in Fig. 5. The factors influencing the THGEM detector's performance (environmental conditions, starting gas flow, and electronics factors etc.) are unstable before 30 h. As time goes on, they gradually stabilize under beam conditions.



Fig. 4. (color online) Baseline measurement with a random trigger.



Fig. 5. Measurement of detector stability calibrated by 55 Fe.

3 Results

3.1 Geant4 simulation results

A 4 mm drift gap filled with Ar+3% iC₄H₁₀ has been simulated with Geant4, and Fig. 6 shows the simulation results. The deposition energy of p is between 0.7 keV and 1.8 keV, and is 1–4 times higher than that for π^+ . Besides, the deposition energy of p decreases as the momentum increases but for π^+ it is almost constant.

3.2 Amplitude spectrum of p/π^+

Figure 7 shows the flight time of p/π^+ at different momenta, with the flight time of p larger than that of



Fig. 6. (color online) Geant4 simulation of p/π^+ deposition energy in 4 mm Ar+3% iC₄H₁₀.



Fig. 7. (color online) Flight times of p/π^+ at different momenta: the flight time of p is much more than that of π^+ . (a) 500 MeV/c; (b) 600 MeV/c; (c) 700 MeV/c; (d) 800 MeV/c; (e) 900 MeV/c; and, (f) 1000 MeV/c.



Fig. 8. (color online) Amplitude spectra of p/π^+ at 500 MeV/c. (a) p; and, (b) π^+ .



Fig. 9. (color online) MPV of p/π^+ at different momenta.

 π^+ . Compared with the expected values from Table 1, the flight times of p/π^+ are reasonable, thus p/π^+ can be distinguished clearly.

Figure 8 shows the amplitude spectra of p/π^+ at 500 MeV/*c*; therefore, the spectra can be fitted with a Landau function. The most possible value (MPV) of p shown in Fig. 8 is larger than that for π +. Fig. 9 shows the MPV of p/π^+ at the measured momenta: the amplitude of p decreases with increasing momentum, while the amplitude of π^+ is almost constant. The trend of energy deposition for p/π^+ at different momenta matches the Geant4 simulation results. After subtracting the baseline (180 mV), the amplitude of p is about 1–4 times higher than that of π^+ .

3.3 Detection efficiency

The detection efficiency of the THGEM detector is

defined as the ratio of valid events to total events. On the basis of the threshold value (195 mV) set above, the detection efficiency of the THGEM detector for p and π^+ is calculated as shown in Fig. 10. The detection efficiency for p is slightly higher than that for π^+ . The detection efficiency for p varies from 93% to 99%, and for π^+ from 82% to 88%.

According to Ref. [11] and the Geant4 simulation results, the deposition energy of p/π^+ at a given momentum should be different because this is closely related to dE/dx. With increasing momentum, dE/dx for p decreases, while for π^+ there is only slight change. Considering fluctuation error and errors from experimental conditions, the trend of detection efficiencies for p/π^+ shown in Fig. 10 is reliable.



Fig. 10. (color online) Detection efficiency for p/π^+ at different momenta.

4 Conclusion and prospects

The DHCAL will be an important component in future high energy experiments, especially for hadron jets etc. High spatial resolution, high counting rate, and high detection efficiency will improve HCAL's energy resolution. A HCAL based on THGEM detector should be competitive due to its above mentioned properties.

In this paper, we have made a preliminary study of the response of a THGEM detector to protons and π^+ . A 4 mm drift gap and 2 mm induction gap THGEM detector was used, and it worked rather stably in Ar+3% iC₄H₁₀ during the experiment, with a gain of about 2000. The results show that detection efficiencies for p are slightly larger than for π^+ , and the amplitude spectra of p/π^+ match the Geant4 simulation results. Based on the above results, the detection efficiency for π^+ at higher momentum should be better.

Certainly, it is important for a THGEM detector to improve efficiency for minimum ionizing particles. In order to improve signal noise ratio, the working conditions of the THGEM detector should be optimized, including working gas and configuration. Meanwhile, the THGEM detector should be as compact as possible if it is to be used as a DHCAL sampling element. Further studies are ongoing.

References

- 1 LIU Hong-Bang, LIU Qian, CHEN Shi et al. JINST, 2012, 7: C06001
- 2 Chechik R, Cortesi M, Breskin A. SNIC Symposium, Stanford, California, 3-6 April 2006
- 3 PANG Hong-Chao, LIU Hong-Bang, CHEN Shi et al. Chin. Phys. Lett., 2012, 29(1): 012901
- 4~ Peskov V, Cortesi M, Chechik R et al. JINST, 2010, $~{\bf 5}:~ P11004$
- 5 The International Linear Collider Technical Design Report, 2013
- 6 Arazi L, Azevedo C. D. R, Breskin A et al. Nucl. Instrum.

Methods A, 2013, 732: 199

- 7 Bressler S, Arazi L, Natal da Luz H et al. JINST, 2013, 8: P07017
- 8 ZHANG Ai-Wu, LU Jun-Guang YU Bo-Xiang et al. Nucl. Instrum. Methods A, 2013, 722: 43–48
- 9 LIU Hong-Bang, ZHENG Yang-Heng, XIE Yi-Gang et al. Nucl. Instrum. Methods A, 2011, 659: 237–241
- 10 ZHOU Xiao-Kang, LIU Qian, CHEN Shi et al. Chin. Phys. Lett., 2014, **31**(3): 032901
- 11 XIE Yi-Gang, CHEN Chang, WANG Man et al. Particle Detectors and Data Acquisition. Beijing: Science Press, 2003. 7 (in Chinese)